| | Page 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT |
| 2 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |
| 3 | |
| 4 | x |
| 5 | In the Matter of: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | DOWLING COLLEGE, Case No. 16-75545-reg |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Debtor. |
| 10 | x |
| 11 | |
| 12 | United States Bankruptcy Court |
| 13 | Alfonse M. D'Amato Federal Courthouse |
| 14 | 290 Federal Plaza |
| 15 | Central Islip, New York 11722 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | August 21, 2019 |
| 18 | 1:47 p.m. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | BEFORE: |
| 22 | HON ROBERT E. GROSSMAN |
| 23 | U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE |
| 24 | |
| 25 | ECRO: Unidentified |

Page 2 1 [724] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge Claim 2 Numbers #131 of Airwald, #132 of Airweld, #443 of Angie L Martinez, #130 of Courtney Whalley, #15 of East Islip Lumber 3 Co Inc, #3 of Justin Robert Carlson, #72 of Mike Covello, 4 #482 of United States Department of Education, #783 of 5 6 United States Department of Education , #802 of Vladislav 7 Tsalko, #186 of Ann Saggese Garnes, 133 of Ashvin Doshi, 8 #226 of Carole Saturnino, #188 of David C Maserjian, #448 of 9 David Gold & Bertha Gold JT Penn, #171 of Dennis H Hawkins, 10 #208 of Siana Sclafini, #105 of George Roberta, #210 of 11 George Roberta, #282 of Gerald R & Beverly G Sullivan, #352 12 of Harold A Koster & Joan M Koster, #206 of Irwin M Weinstock, #241 of John Grande , #409 of Joseph M Kazckowski 13 Trustee, #205 of Kenneth A Dooppelt; # 150 of Laurie 14 15 Hoffman, #317 of Lewis T Boxwell, #177 of Marie Turro 16 Gillespie, 249 of Melanie Dobel, #242 of Miroslav Satan, #229 17 of Nicholas Peppino, #316 of Peggy B Reed, #283 of Steven J Dobel, #122 of US Trust Company of Delaware Co-Trustee 18 19 Danyal Ozizmir Irrevocable Trust, #123 of US Trust Co of 20 Delaware Co-Trustee Danyal Ozizmir Irrevocable Trust, #240 21 of Waldemar Lipinski & #222 of William A Apfel by Anthony C 22 Acampora on behalf of Ronald J. Friedman, Esq., Unsecured 23 Creditor Trustee. 24

Page 3 1 [726] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge Claim 2 Numbers 194-Allan B Mendelsohn, #408-Barnes & Noble College, #187-Block Chiropractic Sports & Willness, #9-Bonnie Nohs 3 for Michelle T Nohs, #290-Bri-Tech, Inc, #75-CIT Finance 4 5 LLC, #53-Deanna Ocampo for Michelle T Nohs, #179-Delta Mu 6 Delta, #252-Doherty, Enterprises, Inc, #405-Elinor Brunswick 7 Appel, #447-Elsevier BV, #358-Expense Reduction Analysts 8 Addison Tower, #203-Gayle M Balmuth, #451-Geeta Persad, 9 #169-Grammy Enterprises LLc, #455-Hartford Fire Insurance Co 10 Hartford Plaza, #276-Hobsons Inc, #142-Joseph Economico, 11 #125-Laser Performance Products, Inc, #383-Local 153 Pension Plan, #425-Maryann Caputo, #85-Mike Caldarella, #293-12 13 National Union Fire Insurance Company, #168-Platinum Energy 14 LLC, #27-Robert Elkins, #165-Robert Moccia, #393-Royal Star 15 Associates, Inc, #39-Skyrush Marketing, #288-Smart Power 16 Inc, #318-Steven Murray, #391-The Hartford, #784-United 17 States Department of Education & #780-Westchester Journal News Acct by Anthony C Acampora on behalf of Ronald J. 18 19 Friedman, Esq., Unsecured Creditor Trustee 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
Page 4
 1
      [728] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge Claim
     Numbers: 351, 442, 340, 462, 180, 463, 266, 779, 438, 292,
 2
      212, 445, 161, 246, 431, 291, 496, 224, 272, 217, 201, 454,
 3
      420, 392, 307, 239, 237, 158, 444, 439, 403, 479, 143, 257,
 4
 5
      435, 471, 119, 476, 114, 199, 251, 424, 453, 416, 350, 386,
      401, 412, 197, 126, 216, 478, 782, 459, 461, 163, 489, 379,
 7
      243, 490, 275, 385, 378, 207, 195, 475, 474, 486, 400, 308,
      433, 464, 374, 166, 170, 215, 422, 284, 202, 432, 342, 499,
 8
      366, 193, 460, 801, 493, 339, 419, 200, 137, 410, 427, 141,
 9
10
      223, 389, 364, 417, 387, 470, 803, 81, 359, 376 & 149 by
11
     Anthony C Acampora on behalf of Ronald J. Friedman, Esq.,
12
     Unsecured Creditor Trustee.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
      Transcribed by:
                       Sherri L. Breach
```

| | Page 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
| 2 | SILVERMANACAMPORA, LLP |
| 3 | Attorneys for Dowling College Unsecured Creditor Trust |
| 4 | 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300 |
| 5 | Jericho, New York 11753 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | BY: BRIAN POWERS, ESQ. |
| 8 | ANTHONY ACAMPORA, ESQ. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Page 6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: Matters Number 39 through 41, Dowling College. 3 4 (Pause) 5 MR. ACAMPORA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 Anthony Acampora, SilvermanAcampora for Ronald Friedman, the 7 unsecured creditor trustee. With me today is Mr. Brian 8 Powers and the trustee, Ronald Friedman. 9 MR. POWERS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. ACAMPORA: We have three claims motions on. 12 The reason I'm here, Your Honor, is to give you a little 13 quick status as to what's going on with the litigation so 14 that you're aware, and then Mr. Powers will do the claims 15 objections, with your permission. 16 DNO settlement is being finalized. We're 17 gathering the signatures of the 12 defendants. Money is in that pipeline. We will serve the Rule 41 dismissals that we 18 19 spoke about at the last hearing as soon as we have the money 20 and the case is actually settled, and we'll be serving that 21 on the creditors and filing it on the docket. 22 I've settled with KPMG. We plan to file -- there's no pending litigation 23 as Your Honor is aware. That was through a mediation before 24 25 we commenced any litigation. We were planning on filing

something similar to the Rule 41, but not exactly, another notice of settlement of litigation or settlement of claim, putting that on the docket in the main case because there's no adversary from which to put it.

And the only question I have for Your Honor is do you want to see that document in camera along with the settlement agreement in camera as we did with the DNO?

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, you're aware that there's a good bit of public sentiment as emphasized in a newspaper article that was circulated that disagrees with the trustee and apparently your firm's belief that you can do all this without explaining it to anybody, or adequately explaining it. That's the view of alumni of Dowling College.

I have made my view very clear in this case. You guys do what you do at your own peril. That's going to be highlighted in about five minutes when we get into the claims objections. But I have nothing to say, not nothing to say. I will have plenty to deal with in this case, plenty.

I think what you're doing by not -- if that's your ultimate decision, not explaining what went on in this case, what happened -- and don't tell me the complaint does it.

I've heard your whole argument about this. There's an entire constituency of people that were hurt in Dowling

College who are unsatisfied, and I agree with them, with the actions of the trustee in this case so far, that the settlement you've entered into which you have agreed not to publicize or give people an opportunity to comment on I think is a horrendous decision. That's a decision you're making. We've been through this. When it's my turn I'll have something to deal with and say about it.

I'm not interested in any more discussion on this one. I really am not. I told you what I think you should do. You guys are going about this under the view that you said to me in the last hearing, I'm the only person who believes you have to seek the Court's approval on this. I disagree with that. I'm not sure what you sign is enforceable in any form. Okay. You're free to sign it. you do what you want.

I'm not discussing this anymore. I've made my views perfectly clear. I'm going to have my say in this case down the road. You're going to understand it very clearly down the road. But for now you have the belief, as counsel to Mr. Friedman, and Mr. Friedman has a belief that you can proceed in a certain fashion. I disagree with that. You want to go ahead. It's a free country. The Court will have its opportunity to participate at another point.

We're done. Move on to the objections. I don't want to hear anymore about your settlements. I really

Page 9 1 don't. I don't have any interest in your settlement where 2 you don't ask the Court to review it, approve it or do 3 anything else. I don't know what you're doing with the KPMG 4 5 settlement. What I told you to do or suggested you guys do, 6 and you may still be doing it in which case it will be fine, 7 is let the public know what the settlement is, what you're 8 doing, why you did it, what the monies are involved in it, 9 how it's going to proceed, and let people come in and say 10 they either agree or disagree. You don't agree that they 11 have that right. 12 MR. ACAMPORA: Your Honor doesn't want me to 13 discuss it. 14 THE COURT: No, I don't. I really don't. I 15 really don't. I don't want to hear it anymore. 16 MR. ACAMPORA: I understand. 17 THE COURT: Then don't do it. I just told you I 18 don't want to hear this anymore. We're going to have an 19 opportunity where you will have the right and probably the 20 need to explain this fully. Not today. 21 MR. ACAMPORA: I have no intention of doing it 22 today. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to say, 24 you can -- free to go ahead and say it. 25 MR. ACAMPORA: Yes.

Page 10 1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 MR. ACAMPORA: That is consistent with something 3 you said to me prior months ago. We then, I thought, reached an understanding as to how we would proceed, that 4 5 the number was -- and I'm not arguing. I'm just -- I'm 6 protecting my record, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: That's fine. 8 MR. ACAMPORA: And I'm entitled to do that. 9 THE COURT: You are entitled to that. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: We had a conversation about what 11 could and could not be spread upon the record based upon the parameters of the ability to settle with these defendants. 12 13 We also discussed that we needed to put something on the 14 record that showed what the claims were, what their 15 objections were, that you were concerned about notice. 16 We also discussed that there's no 9019 procedure 17 here, so everything that you just said confuses me a little bit because creditors don't have the right to object under 18 19 the plan and the confirmation order. 20 THE COURT: That's your view. I know that. 21 doesn't seem to be the view of a fairly large -- a large 22 constituency. 23 MR. ACAMPORA: So let me address that constituency for one minute and then I will subside and let Mr. Powers 24 25 proceed.

1 I spoke to Newsday and I've spoke -- and Mr. 2 Friedman spoke to the person that was quoted in Newsday. The Alumni Association of Dowling College was -- Inc., was 3 created two months after Dowling closed its doors. So the 4 5 tenor of that article was how is the trustee representing 6 that organization. And I think it's clear that we're not. 7 The Dowling Alumni Association was a DBA that was rolled up, as Your Honor is well aware, by the debtor when they filed 8 9 for bank -- when it filed for bankruptcy. 10 So what I had told Newsday was that's wrong. 11 We're not representing the Dowling Alumni Association or the 12 -- I'm sorry -- the Association -- the Association of Alumni 13 -- the Alumni Association of Dowling, Inc. is the actual 14 name. We're not representing that entity. That individual 15 is known to Mr. Suther (ph). He's spoken to Mr. Friedman. 16 He wants to buy the college. He wants to do something else. 17 The college's charter is gone. We've gotten no objection 18 from them. 19 I understand what's in the newspaper. I think 20 it's inflammatory, and I don't think it reflects reality. 21 Your Honor knows I'm not trying to settle claims that that 22 entity may have. I don't believe that entity has any claims 23 because as an entity it didn't exist until the debtor was 24 done. 25 THE COURT: Well, your view on claims, which is

Page 12 1 going to be the next round of this series today, and my view on claims vary dramatically. So why don't you wait till Mr. 2 Powers is done and hear my view on that, and you may 3 4 understand why I'm concerned, to put it mildly, about what's 5 going on. 6 We have numbers of people who have sang the same 7 tune. Dowling took money from them. You said to this Court 8 on at least two occasions that Dowling paid no bills during 9 the two-year period, whatever it was, which I don't find 10 possible, but that was your statement. If Dowling paid 11 nothing out and Dowling collected money, money went someplace, either -- I don't assume a bunch of people took 12 13 it in suitcases. I don't assume you guys have a clue where 14 it went. I really don't. 15 Don't answer me, because everything I've seen in 16 this case from the Section 5 claims on is that the trustee 17 and his professionals, other than drafting a complaint which explain that millions of dollars couldn't be found, forget 18 19 the dollars that may have been used for one purpose. You 20 have any number of allegations that we couldn't -- that the 21 accountants told you in a certain year a million and a half 22 dollars couldn't be found. This couldn't be found. It's in 23 the --24 MR. ACAMPORA: That's not accurate. 25 THE COURT: It's --

| | Page 13 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ACAMPORA: No, Your Honor. |
| 2 | THE COURT: in the complaint. |
| 3 | MR. ACAMPORA: That's not accurate. |
| 4 | THE COURT: You want me to give you a copy of it? |
| 5 | I read it. |
| 6 | MR. ACAMPORA: I drafted it. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, I understand what you drafted. |
| 8 | I read it. There are sections in that complaint where it |
| 9 | says in a particular year a million and a half dollars we |
| 10 | don't know what happened to it. You don't argue that it |
| 11 | went here or there. You just say they can't tell you where |
| 12 | it went. |
| 13 | MR. ACAMPORA: That's |
| 14 | THE COURT: And in each year there were several |
| 15 | years where that statement was made. |
| 16 | MR. ACAMPORA: I know what sections you're |
| 17 | referring to. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. ACAMPORA: Those |
| 20 | THE COURT: I'm not having a discussion. |
| 21 | MR. ACAMPORA: Please. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Mr. Acampora, please. |
| 23 | MR. ACAMPORA: Your Honor, we have these one-sided |
| 24 | conversations. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Yes, they are. |

Page 14 1 MR. ACAMPORA: And I agree that you're entitled to 2 do that. But I'm entitled to protect my record. 3 THE COURT: Well, when I'm done you can protect 4 your record. 5 MR. ACAMPORA: Fine. 6 THE COURT: I repeat that a normal source of money 7 for any Chapter 11 I've ever seen in 45 years is claims 8 under Section 5, monies that paid out that shouldn't have 9 been paid out, some form of claims. When I asked about it 10 in this case I was told we couldn't do that because we don't 11 think any money was paid and, by the way, we didn't look at the books and records. We never hired an accountant. 12 13 trustee has never hired an accountant in this case, to my 14 knowledge, on behalf of you -- on behalf of the estate. 15 You're now settling with KPMG. I don't know what 16 you're settling because I've never seen a complaint. I 17 don't know what KPMG's responsibility was. I don't know what it's for. I don't -- I don't know any of this. 18 19 don't -- and nobody else will either. 20 And what I'm telling you is one way or another, it 21 could be really painful, but one way or another the story of 22 what happened at Dowling is not going to get swept under the rug. And I don't care how I get there. I don't care 23 whether it's in this court or another court. This is going 24

to be explained, what everybody's role was from the

creditors' committee to the creditors' committee's counsel to the trustee to the trustee's counsel. I've made this abundantly clear time after time after time.

When you say we reach an agreement -- I don't have to reach an agreement with you. I have no agreements with you. You do as a lawyer what you think is right. My job is to review that and determine if I agree with it or not. And if I have a legal basis to disagree, then I'm going to do something.

I've made my record incredibly clear in this case, incredibly clear. You have made your record clear. You believe you had no obligation or the trustee has no obligation. And you've -- to do certain things. You believe I don't have the authority to review your settlements. I think you're wrong. Go settle the case and when somebody else comes in and challenges it, maybe there will be a hearing on it. Maybe nobody will ever challenge it.

But just understand we have no agreements. We have no understanding. My view of how you all have handled this is marginally better than when you did nothing. And that will be reviewed at a certain point in time, not today. Today is just for what we're doing, which is your claims objections motion.

Page 16 1 Now I would like to move onto that. 2 MR. ACAMPORA: Very well. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. POWERS: Your Honor, for the record Brian 4 5 Powers, SilvermanAcampora, counsel to the creditor trustee. 6 I think it makes sense probably to take the 7 omnibus objections in numerical order. So the first omnibus 8 objection, Your Honor, was to duplicative, amended and 9 superseded claims. That was filed on July 12th and it's ECF 10 724. The affidavit of service of that is at ECF 724-5. 11 was also filed in cert on the same day on all of the claimants and the United States Trustee. 12 13 The claims on this, as I stated, Your Honor, were 14 claims that were duplicative --15 THE COURT: You had one objection in that and your 16 response to that is that that party is taken care of as part 17 of the bondholders. 18 MR. POWERS: That is correct, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. That makes sense to me. 20 And all the rest there's no objections from anybody? 21 MR. POWERS: No objections to anybody else. 22 THE COURT: And these are all these duplicative --I guess they're being taken care of through your resolution 23 of the bond --24 25 MR. POWERS: The bond -- so it's two buckets, Your

Page 17 1 Honor. There's the bondholder claims which you're correct. 2 They're being taken care of otherwise. There's also claims, I believe, that the 3 4 Department of Education and a few others that just flat out 5 they were --6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MR. POWERS: -- superseded by later filings. 8 THE COURT: But nobody's objected to it, so --9 MR. POWERS: Correct. THE COURT: -- the Court will grant that motion. 10 11 MR. POWERS: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 Going to the second omnibus objection, Your Honor, 13 this one was filed on July 15th. It's ECF 726. affidavit of service is ECF 727. It was filed in cert, 14 15 again, on July 15th on all claimants and the United States 16 Trustee. 17 This one is claims that, based on the debtor's 18 books and records, should either be reduced or disallowed. 19 There are a few --20 THE COURT: Let me just make one statement 21 quickly. One of the parties that filed a response to this 22 is a Bonnie Nohs. 23 MR. POWERS: Correct. 24 THE COURT: Bonnie Nohs is an employee of the 25 District Court in this building. I have no reason to recuse

Page 18 1 myself. I've never spoken to her about this. Her -- the 2 judge that she works for has nothing to do with this case. That judge is aware that Bonnie had -- that Ms. Nohs had 3 filed this objection. And so I -- it has no impact on my 4 5 decisions, but I thought it was fair to let you know. 6 MR. POWERS: I appreciate that, Your Honor. 7 agree with you. I don't think it has anything --8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. POWERS: Just for the record we did file two 10 notices of withdrawals of claims objections. These 11 claimants contacted us, provided us with additional information. We were able to reconcile the books and 12 records. We withdrew those. That's Claim Number 169 and 13 14 Claim Number 408. 15 We also were contacted by Mr. Alan Mendelson. He 16 filed Claim Number 194. This is a bit of a strange 17 situation. He is the trustee of multiple debtors that are substantively consolidated estates. We scheduled one of the 18 debtors. He filed a claim for a different debtor. We 19 20 objected based on the --21 THE COURT: In his role as the trustee, Chapter 7 22 trustee? 23 MR. POWERS: As trustee, yes. I believe in the 24 Eastern District. 25 Regardless, we ultimately came to a resolution.

Page 19 1 The numbers are very close between the --2 THE COURT: All right. MR. POWERS: -- schedule and the filed claims, so 3 he agreed. And as long as Your Honor is okay with it, he 4 5 circulated a stip and order that we would ask Your Honor to 6 enter separate and apart --7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. POWERS: -- from this, where essentially 9 they're withdrawing their claim and we're agreeing to pay their scheduled claim which we were going to be paying 10 11 anyway. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. POWERS: That's, again, Claim Number 194. 14 There's also Claim Number 383 which was filed by Local 153 Pension Plan. That claim, Your Honor, they 15 16 contacted us as well. They gave us a substantial amount of 17 documentation. They --essentially, they had filed a claim 18 that said this is a good faith estimate, and we objected 19 saying, what does that mean, essentially. And they gave us 20 a substantial amount of documentation. 21 Your Honor, we're going to be withdrawing the 22 objection to that claim. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. POWERS: And then finally Claim Number 784 was 25 the United States Department of Education. They had

Page 20 1 contacted us, Your Honor, and requested an extension. 2 United States Attorney on that matter isn't here, isn't 3 available today. He's on vacation. But we're hopeful that 4 we're going to be able to get that one resolved in the short 5 term anyway. 6 But to the extent we don't, we would ask for a 7 holding date sometime maybe early September just for an 8 adjourn date on this. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So the claim that's left is 10 Claim Number 53 here, Ms. Nohs' objection? 11 MR. POWERS: That's the objection, yes. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. POWERS: I think it's -- it's actually two 14 claims, Your Honor. So Ms. Nohs' claim is Claim Number 9 and then she filed with another -- I believe her name is 15 16 Deanna Ocampo, that was Claim Number 53 for \$500. They both 17 filed it. THE COURT: Right. It's 19 -- 14,5 and 500, I 18 19 guess. 20 MR. POWERS: I think it's 15,0000 even. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. POWERS: So --23 THE COURT: And what's your -- they objected by saying they endowed a scholarship for specific purposes at 24 25 Dowling.

Page 21 1 MR. POWERS: That is correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Nohs is in the courtroom if she 3 wants to come up. You don't have to if you wish to --4 MS. NOHS: I can't hear him, so I'm a little 5 (indiscernible). 6 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you have a seat over 7 here? MR. POWERS: So essentially, Your Honor, the basis 8 9 of our objection -- and this has been before the Court in 10 numerous different settings -- is that under New York State 11 law the cy pres doctrine is the only way to distribute 12 endowed monies. 13 THE COURT: That's not true. That's not true. As 14 a matter of law that's not true. 15 MR. POWERS: I -- Mr. Bevona (ph) is in the 16 courtroom today, Your Honor. He's had --17 THE COURT: I don't care who is here. I've dealt 18 with this in several cases. As a black letter law, that's 19 not true. That's not how New York law works. 20 What happens is if you have a charitable donation, 21 often times those donations end up in places where the party 22 that made the donation passes away, dead. And the institution then either goes out of business or decides to 23 24 use the money differently. That then doesn't go back to the 25 estate because the person who put up the money is no longer

Page 22 1 with us. And the ultimate resolution of how that money is 2 distributed would be under a Cy pres concept. 3 But exclusive of that, parties are free to come to a court and reach agreements of to the change of how money 4 5 is used because if the party is still alive who gave you the 6 money, as Ms. Nohs obviously is, her objection is, I gave 7 the money to Dowling specifically to endow a scholarship in the name of X, not to endow that scholarship at St. Mary's. 8 9 I think that's basically what she's arguing. That was her specific purpose. She's still with us and she can evidence 10 11 to me that was her purpose. 12 The fact -- now your argument is we shouldn't give 13 it back to her even if the, what, court orders it? 14 MR. POWERS: So --15 THE COURT: So if you and she agree that you would 16 give her back the money, I could order you giving her back 17 the money. MR. POWERS: Your Honor --18 THE COURT: There are tax consequences to her, but 19 20 that's not my issue. 21 MR. POWERS: If I may, Your Honor, just quickly. 22 I think we're conflating issues here. 23 So the money for all of the scholarships is sitting with the plan administrator, all of the endowed 24 25 funds waiting to be distributed, to the extent they haven't

| | Page 23 |
|----|---|
| 1 | been. I know they've been working to do it. |
| 2 | THE COURT: All right. And it's supposed to be |
| 3 | MR. POWERS: That's |
| 4 | THE COURT: way. |
| 5 | MR. POWERS: That is separate and apart |
| 6 | THE COURT: It's not |
| 7 | MR. POWERS: from the trust. |
| 8 | THE COURT: It does not get paid to creditors. |
| 9 | MR. POWERS: Right. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Those funds are not available to the |
| 11 | general creditors. |
| 12 | MR. POWERS: Right. |
| 13 | THE COURT: And that's clear under New York law. |
| 14 | MR. POWERS: But the claims in the case have now |
| 15 | so the general unsecured claims are now at the trust |
| 16 | level, whereas we're talking about two pools of money. |
| 17 | We're talking about the scholarship money is sitting with |
| 18 | the plan administrator waiting to be distributed, whereas |
| 19 | the money that comes in from whatever settlements we have |
| 20 | THE COURT: Who should she ask for the money? |
| 21 | MR. POWERS: The plan administrator, ultimately. |
| 22 | And if |
| 23 | THE COURT: So if the plan |
| 24 | MR. POWERS: And, again, Mr. Bevona is in the |
| 25 | courtroom. |

Page 24 THE COURT: If the plan administrator agrees to 1 2 give her the money, then she doesn't have a claim here. 3 Somebody should have explained that --MR. POWERS: I totally agree, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: -- to her. 6 MS. NOHS: Well, that's not what --7 THE COURT: Hold it. Hold it. Just 8 let's not have a --9 MS. NOHS: Okay. 10 MR. POWERS: More than happy to have that 11 conversation, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. POWERS: But, ultimately, the -- there's no 14 claim against the trust because, again, there are --15 THE COURT: That may be right. You may have no 16 claim -- what they're saying is that these monies are not 17 property of the -- well, I want to be careful. It may or 18 may not be property of the estate, but it can't be used to 19 pay creditors. 20 So they can't give you the money as a creditor, 21 but you can be -- you can ask the plan administrator, 22 because that's different from these guys, to have me issue an order if he wants directing that \$15,000 or 15,5, if 23 24 they're both the same, of the funds he has instead of going 25 to Mount St. Mary's return to you. They should be -- they

Page 25 1 shouldn't care. If you weren't alive, then that's a 2 different issue because interpreting your will, small "W" not your will, it creates more of a problem. 3 Here -- and I think these guys can help you if 4 5 they think you're right. We're not doing this because I 6 know you or you're in this courtroom. This -- I would do 7 this for anybody. If the party comes in and says, I endowed 8 something at a specific institution and I want my money 9 back, there's a mechanism -- there are tax consequences, but 10 that's not their tax consequences. They're your 11 consequences. 12 If the money was gone, then you could in theory 13 say I have a claim here and then their argument was probably correct. You're not a creditor of the estate because 14 15 they're perfectly willing to give you the money. Not 16 perfect -- they don't hold your money. They don't owe you 17 the money. The plan administrator owes you the money. So whomever that is --18 19 MR. POWERS: It's Mr. Rosenfeld. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. POWERS: Again --22 THE COURT: These guys will facilitate for you, 23 Mr. Powers will facilitate a relation -- a connection. 24 Again, I am not making a determination --25 MR. POWERS: Mr. Bevona is from our --

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not making -- Mr. Bevona,

I'm not making a determination that he has to give you the

money if he thinks it's wrong. Make sure you're careful

about that. I'm not directing you to give her the money.

I'm directing you to have a conversation and if, as a matter

of law, you believe you can do it, do it. If you can't,

then we'll have to revisit this in a different forum.

MR. POWERS: Your Honor, I've just been informed,
Mr. Bevona will give Ms. Nohs her card and -- his card and
get into a dialogue --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. POWERS: -- and figure out how to work it out.

THE COURT: Again, I'm not -- all we're determining is that your objection to the claim probably is moot because you don't get the money from the general pool of creditors because the money that you put up is not in that pool, and those funds all have to -- and they are segregated. So there's \$15,000 plus whatever is there. I don't know what's there. 99 percent of those funds are going to go through a cy pres because there's nobody around to fight about it.

But in this particular case he'll have to make a decision as to how he believes the estate should operate, and then you're free to come back here with the estate issue, not the creditor issue. This isn't their issue.

| | Page 27 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. NOHS: Okay. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 3 | MS. NOHS: Yeah. |
| 4 | THE COURT: So hopefully it will work out one way |
| 5 | or another. But I don't think you have a claim I don't |
| 6 | make a ruling on that. Let's see what happens over there |
| 7 | and I'll adjourn the decision on this particular claim. But |
| 8 | if it's resolved in any fashion to the satisfaction of the |
| 9 | parties, then all you would do is either withdraw the claim |
| 10 | or stipulate to the objection that they've raised. |
| 11 | MS. NOHS: Okay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 13 | MS. NOHS: Thank you. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. So that's that set, right? |
| 15 | MR. POWERS: I think that that's the end of the |
| 16 | second omnibus set. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 18 | MR. POWERS: I think there are a few other |
| 19 | THE COURT: No. We go the third one going. |
| 20 | MR. POWERS: Well, obviously, but I think there's |
| 21 | a few other claims on the second omnibus |
| 22 | THE COURT: I only have Ms. Nohs. That's the only |
| 23 | one I had on this |
| 24 | MR. POWERS: No. No. That's objections and |
| 25 | whatnot. |

Page 28 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MR. POWERS: I'm just talking about claims to be either disallowed or reduced. 3 THE COURT: Oh, other than that I'll grant the 4 5 motion. 6 MR. POWERS: Thank you, Your Honor, just as 7 housekeeping. THE COURT: No. That's true. You're right. 8 9 You're right. 10 MR. POWERS: All right. So that brings us to the 11 third omnibus objection, Your Honor. This was the student claims. This was filed again on July 15th. It's ECF 728. 12 The affidavit of service is ECF 729, served on that same 13 14 day, July 15th, on all the claimants and the United States 15 Trustee. 16 THE COURT: Now there are -- you have a whole list 17 of folks, but let's only deal with the ones that actually 18 filed objections. 19 MR. POWERS: Understood, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: You have a Mr. Ketterer (ph), a Justin 21 Deluca (ph), a Peter Esposito (ph). I think that may be it. 22 MR. POWERS: There's four. 23 THE COURT: And a Timothy Going (ph). 24 MR. POWERS: Correct. 25 THE COURT: So there are four of all the ones that

Page 29 1 you served that have replied to your objection to claims. 2 MR. POWERS: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So let's deal with in any 3 I think it's Mr. Ketterer is first. But I think 4 order. 5 your response was a singular response to all of them. 6 MR. POWERS: It was, Your Honor. We didn't go --7 I mean, ultimately, we feel that these are -- as much as the 8 facts are different in each individual case based on certain 9 circumstances, whether it's they have scholarship, whether 10 it's they stood online waiting for their transcripts, 11 whether they claim that there was little help between 12 Dowling, their future educational institution, the State and 13 whatnot, they're all kind of similarly situated in that 14 Dowling closed and they had to scramble to get another educational institution. 15 16 And I think -- and of course Your Honor feels this 17 way as well from all of his statements, everybody feels 18 badly for the students. The question, and it's our 19 position, they don't have a legally cognizable claim against 20 the Dowling estate for what happened. 21 And even to the extent they did, they don't --22 there's no connection between the damages that they're 23 alleging and what happened to them. 24 THE COURT: So your position is they have no 25 damages or they have no claims?

Page 30 1 MR. POWERS: It's both. They don't have a claim 2 in the first place, but even if they did --THE COURT: Why don't they have a claim? 3 MR. POWERS: Well, I --4 5 THE COURT: Your response generally says Dowling 6 as an institution, after it shut its doors, entered into a 7 series of agreements with both New York State and other schools to provide students who were now sort of left out in 8 9 the cold the ability to finish their degree programs at 10 another institution, or in other matters to eliminate 11 certain amount of their debt, if they wanted to give up certain credits, all of which has to do with mitigation of 12 13 damages, not whether there was an underlying cause of 14 action. 15 MR. POWERS: I understand your position on that, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Well, it's not only mine. In the affidavit I think of either Mr. Bevano or somebody that you 18 19 guys submitted, in a footnote you acknowledged that these 20 parties are making a veiled reference to fraud. 21 And that while they are not complete in their 22 analysis, you represent -- he represented in his affidavit as filed by you guys that there's a recognition on your part 23 24 that essentially what they're arguing is they were defrauded 25 and, therefore, I agree with that. I know -- I'm not saying

Page 31 1 they were. I'm saying their allegations require an 2 evidentiary hearing, for all of these guys if they want it, as to whether or not they were defrauded, not whether or not 3 you've mitigated their damages. That's a different issue. 4 You have people who put in pleadings here who 5 6 said, I paid 1,400 bucks in April and they shut the door in 7 June. They knew they were going to shut the door. They 8 took my money. 9 You had other people who say, I have damages in 10 that when you sent me to another school and I had three 11 credits left, in order to get a degree I had to spend another \$8,000 and take 12 credits. 12 13 You have another person -- and these stories are 14 replete. They're all over this case, whether they filed 15 these objections or not. 16 The fundamental issue, which is what I was getting 17 at with Mr. Acampora before, is that while there may be an 18 attempt to resolve this case, there may, in fact, be a 19 series of hearings on whether Dowling, operating through 20 whomever it was operating, committed fraud. 21 And if you read the pleadings and you read other

And if you read the pleadings and you read other cases that have gone down this line, and including in this case, there are people who say, what were the trustees doing, are they responsible; what were the principals of Dowling or the administrators doing. And I caution that any

22

23

24

settlement that seems to preclude somebody from arguing that they committed fraud and the trustee was aware of it is not going to work.

Now you may think it will work, but if these people come in and argue that these trustees participated in a fraud that caused them damages, you didn't waive that.

You don't have the ability to waive that, I don't think.

So that individual, who is a creditor in this case, and if you want to look at a history of a similar case, look at Oak Rock. Oak Rock had huge amounts of creditors who the debtor originally viewed had participating interests. I viewed they weren't participating interests. I got reversed and said they were. The reason they still had claims is they said, yes, I had participating interests, but those interests I was defrauded to buy and Oak Rock defrauded me.

There's two different things going on here. One, what was the school's responsibility to these students upon shuttering the school. And your argument is they did everything they could. They gave them places to go. They did -- and that may be right. That may be right.

They're arguing they were defrauded in the first place; that the school was operating in a fashion that defrauded them when they were told to continue to pay their tuition leading up to the closure of the school. Where did

the money go, what happened, which is a question I've had since the beginning. Where did the tuition go? If you weren't paying any bills and students were paying tuition, where did it go? Did it go to the bondholders? Did it go to -- I don't know where it went.

So if these parties, and I'm not going to grant your motion to object to their claims. I think they have valid claims at this point, or they've made a prima facie case of a valid claim.

Now it may be that they and others don't wish to proceed, in which case that's possible. But I think you have failed to answer the fundamental question in either your response or in anything else that's been done in this case to explain why Dowling didn't commit a fraud.

MR. POWERS: I understand Your Honor's position.

Just quickly just as a clarification. A very large number of these claims attach absolutely nothing, don't even write a basis --

THE COURT: Well, then in an evidentiary hearing you'll take the position that they can't prove it. I don't know what -- you're back to, they failed to show you their transcripts or something. I don't know what it is. If they could establish they paid money, which you agree they were students. They paid tuition. Your difference is you're saying they can't demonstrate why they have damages, which

may ultimately be correct. That doesn't deal with the underlying question of whether they were defrauded in the first place. And I've had no satisfactory response from anybody as to whether or not this thing was a fraud.

The pleadings seem to suggest it may have been; that they defrauded people into going to an institution that they knew shouldn't exist. Now as a matter of law they may win that. There may not be the elements of fraud. But there's a colorable claim for it, and I believe these claims and anyone else who would have taken the same position, much like we had in other cases, demonstrate a plausible argument for fraud.

Now they can choose to proceed. They can choose not to proceed. They're not here. They may have lawyers. They may not have lawyers. I don't know what they have. But you guys in continuing down the path you are should be aware that that issue is out there. And if you think a settlement with the trustees or anyone else where these parties don't have a right to approve or reject the release of whatever claims they may have will be binding on them, I wish you all the luck in the world. I do. But I'll hear that case.

MR. ACAMPORA: Can I confer with Mr. Powers for a

THE COURT: Sure.

moment?

Page 35 1 (Pause) 2 MR. ACAMPORA: Your Honor, just a point of clarification, I understand where you're going. I 3 understand what you think is the scenario. But I think the 4 5 one thing -- I have to go check, double check this. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MR. ACAMPORA: Dowling closed in July of --8 MR. POWERS: June. 9 THE COURT: June. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: June of 2016. 11 THE COURT: Right. MR. ACAMPORA: It didn't collect any more tuition. 12 13 So these students weren't defrauded into giving money like 14 say, you know, one of the wedding places. They weren't 15 defrauded into paying money and then didn't get their 16 credits. They got all of their credits. So I paid \$10,000, 17 got my credit -- and I know Your Honor is smiling at me. I feel bad --18 19 THE COURT: You're smarter than this. You're 20 smarter than this. 21 MR. ACAMPORA: No, Judge, because --22 THE COURT: No. You are smarter than this. 23 know exactly what I'm saying. Let's not go through this 24 now. 25 MR. ACAMPORA: There -- let me just finish,

| | Page 36 |
|----|--|
| 1 | please. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Go ahead. |
| 3 | MR. ACAMPORA: They got their credits, and I |
| 4 | understand your point. There's this potential fraud here. |
| 5 | I do not believe that's the case because part of that is |
| 6 | based upon where did the money go. Money came in and money |
| 7 | went to operations. And |
| 8 | THE COURT: No. |
| 9 | MR. ACAMPORA: if you read the complaint |
| 10 | THE COURT: No. No. |
| 11 | MR. ACAMPORA: they were |
| 12 | THE COURT: Mr |
| 13 | MR. ACAMPORA: they were losing money every |
| 14 | year. |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. Bingo. |
| 16 | MR. ACAMPORA: Okay. So they had fewer students. |
| 17 | They were letting off staff. They were losing money every |
| 18 | year. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 20 | MR. ACAMPORA: Your Honor |
| 21 | THE COURT: None of which |
| 22 | MR. ACAMPORA: I spent |
| 23 | THE COURT: was told to incoming students when |
| 24 | they took their tuition in 2015, 2014, 2016 and a half, |
| 25 | quarter. |

Page 37 1 MR. ACAMPORA: But let me finish, please, sir. 2 But if you had credits at that point that were transferrable to another university -- and at the last hearing Your Honor 3 said to me, it's really nobody's fault. It's certainly not 4 5 mine or the trustee's fault that CW Post wanted them to 6 spend \$8,000 instead of buying three credits. I -- we had 7 no control over that. 8 THE COURT: You didn't. 9 MR. ACAMPORA: Dowling didn't have any --10 THE COURT: I agree with that. 11 MR. ACAMPORA: I don't think Dowling --12 THE COURT: You didn't. 13 MR. ACAMPORA: I don't think Dowling had any 14 control over that. 15 The essence, then, of what you're saying is they 16 thought they were going to graduate from Dowling College. 17 THE COURT: No. I --18 MR. ACAMPORA: That's what they're buying. THE COURT: No. The essence of their argument, 19 20 not mine, their argument is that had they known that either 21 their scholarships weren't going to exist, the school wasn't 22 going to be able to continue to -- in a manner that they 23 could earn a degree because they were firing teachers and 24 letting off programs, that the school eventually was 25 operating for several years when most reasonable people --

Page 38 1 their view -- most reasonable people should have shut it down. Leave aside the debts the school incurred. 2 not what these guys are arguing about. That's a whole other 3 question, whether that school should have incurred \$54 4 million in debt or not. It's not in front of -- that's a 5 6 different issue. 7 MR. ACAMPORA: And it happened way before. 8 THE COURT: What these folks are arguing, much 9 like people in normal other civil matters, had you told me 10 X, I wouldn't be in the pickle I'm in and, therefore, I 11 wouldn't have lost the money I lost. The hurdles for that 12 party is, one, did you have an obligation to tell me; did I 13 give you enough information; is that fraud; and the money --14 and are -- do you have damages. There's a whole panoply of 15 issues that people have to prove to prove fraud. 16 That's not -- I'm not declaring there was a fraud. 17 I'm arguing, much like the affidavit submitted, that these 18 folks are eluding to that. 19 MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. 20 THE COURT: And since I did the exact same thing 21 in another case, it's not just this one, that they have 22 essentially two forms of act -- causes of action here. is post-2016 you messed me up. Your argument is that may be 23 true, but we're not liable for that. You may be right. 24

Their second argument is, I wouldn't have been in

25

Page 39 1 that spot but for Dowling's and the trustees' failure to do 2 A, B, C, D and E, the accountants' failure to do A, B, C, D and E, and there are defenses to all of that. I understand 3 that. But they have raised to me viable claims and those 4 5 claims -- now will they prosecute them, will -- are they 6 subject to a summary judge -- fraud is rarely subject to 7 summary judgment. 8 But I believe you need an evidentiary hearing to 9 determine what Dowling did and didn't do, and whether what 10 they did leading up to their closure can be viewed as fraud 11 on these students and all the other students who were 12 injured. 13 Your argument is you're uninjured or you weren't 14 injured that much. 15 MR. ACAMPORA: Among other things. 16 THE COURT: Among other things. That's perfectly 17 fine. That's a -- I realize that's the argument, and I'm 18 not ruling on who's right or wrong. But I am unwilling to 19 eliminate their right to recognize this cause of action. 20 And the cause of action is what happened. 21 And this leads into our prior discussion. Unless 22 one can articulate why -- what somebody did and why that 23 wasn't a problem, but explain what they did, then you're left with the type of the article and type of view you have, 24

which is I think these trustees knew it -- not me.

25

Page 40 1 paraphrasing -- knew what was going on and they had a duty 2 to X. Their view is even if we knew we didn't have a 3 duty, or we did exercise all our duty, we didn't do anything 4 wrong is their argument. They obviously view -- and you 5 6 guys have gotten together. And I don't argue that that's a 7 resolution either way. You reached an accommodation to 8 avoid a lawsuit. Got it. 9 I think -- and I've made myself clear on it. I 10 don't know what the KMG thing is about at all. 11 MR. ACAMPORA: It's --12 THE COURT: But that's -- no. No. I know what 13 the possible lawsuit is. 14 MR. ACAMPORA: Yeah. 15 THE COURT: But as you say, there's never been a 16 pleading filed in that case --17 MR. ACAMPORA: But --18 THE COURT: -- in that allegation. I think one can take it out of --19 20 MR. ACAMPORA: May I --21 THE COURT: -- the 90 some odd pages, but --22 MR. ACAMPORA: Yes, Your Honor. So I'm going to, 23 with a very big smile on my face say, you're very smart. 24 You know what my claim would be. 25 THE COURT: Absolutely.

Page 41 1 MR. ACAMPORA: Okay. 2 THE COURT: And I know their defense. It's the 3 same defense every accounting firm has. MR. ACAMPORA: It's better than that. 4 THE COURT: Yeah. But if the defense is 5 6 hypothetically, you can't blame me because I told all those 7 guys what was going on, I didn't -- it didn't take ten 8 seconds to understand that once you settled one of these, 9 the other was going to be settled. They had to be settled 10 in tandem. 11 MR. ACAMPORA: They actually settled in the 12 opposite order that --13 THE COURT: Whatever order it's in --14 MR. ACAMPORA: -- I thought they would settle. 15 THE COURT: -- they both got to go away at the 16 same time. 17 MR. ACAMPORA: Yes. THE COURT: And all I am trying to do, as 18 19 uncomfortable as I seem to be making you with this. And I understand that. And I know it's --20 21 MR. ACAMPORA: We've known each other a long time. 22 THE COURT: It's just -- I believe that in a -- as 23 opposed to a single asset real estate case or some company in Commack, you have a public institution. That creates 24 25 certain -- and I had it in (indiscernible) and I have some

Page 42 1 of the same problems there, too. There is a tension on one 2 side in these cases that said, look, these people are really good people. They're volunteering their time. 3 In fact, 4 Scott Rudolph, and I knew his father pretty well, as you 5 know --6 MR. ACAMPORA: Put a lot of money in it. 7 THE COURT: -- put a ton of money in it. I knew 8 I used to -- I played golf with -- I knew Arthur Arthur. 9 Rudolph. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: Ten or \$12 million. 11 THE COURT: And their view of this crazy system is 12 one thing over here. No good deed goes unpunished if you 13 want to capitalize that. But there were laws. And the other side of that is tens of thousands or thousands of 14 15 people who have been injured. We all agree they've been 16 injured. There may not be any culpable -- there may not be 17 any adequate response to their injury, but they've been 18 injured. 19 And not all injuries, as we've learned as lawyers, 20 get satisfied. Justice and fairness are often two different 21 things. But the goal on one side, which is to keep it as 22 quiet as possible and limit the exposure in that, and the 23 goal on the other side which is just explain to me what 24 you're doing, not me, these people. 25 You -- the trustee in this case walks an

Page 43 1 incredibly difficult line. I understand that. 2 MR. ACAMPORA: Thank you. THE COURT: And I still recognize you're his 3 lawyer. You're not Mr. Friedman. He walks a tough line. 4 But he chose to do it. That's his job. He accepted that 5 6 responsibility. And you've got to figure out the best 7 mechanism to tell this story, let people vent and move on. 8 They're not going to be happy. I know that. I'm going to 9 get a lot more grief than you will ever get in this case. I 10 know that, too, but that's okay. That's the deal. 11 All I need is for the people to understand why in 12 your -- if you believe this, yes, you were injured, but the law doesn't -- we did the best we can. There is no law. I 13 14 can't force somebody to do that which is not true in the 15 They don't understand that now. All they understand 16 is from that article, and you can say it's one person, it's 17 hundreds that say, you guys are entering into what you 18 always do -- all of us, not just you, the Court, too. I'm 19 right in the middle of it -- a secret transaction to take 20 care of those -- you know, the whole story. I don't think 21 that's what you're doing. I really don't. 22 MR. ACAMPORA: We're not. THE COURT: And I just am trying to communicate 23 unsuccessfully that Mr. Kleinberg's clients chose to be in 24

this position. They chose to be trustees. They can't

25

Page 44 1 really now say, no mas. 2 So however, and this is the conversation we did have. Whether it's a 9019 which I agree may be not 3 possible, if you put in -- and I said this last time and 4 I'll say it again -- in the dismissal an adequate 5 6 disclosure. Let somebody come in and argue. You're going 7 to serve it on them. The case is going to be dismissed. 8 You want to come in and argue it. You can then argue you 9 have no say over that. I don't care what you argue then. 10 But I want them to be able to see that it was a 11 thoughtful process where you are not necessarily -- you're 12 settling. You're not stipulating whatever they did was 13 fine. 14 MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. 15 THE COURT: That's the issue. 16 MR. ACAMPORA: So, Your Honor, we gave you that 17 document in camera. And it might be my misunderstanding as well as Mr. Kleinberg -- I'll speak to -- I'll speak for 18 19 It will be the only time I can because he's not here -- that Your Honor would review that document for that bent 20 on whether it -- you thought it satisfied that. You were 21 22 going to assist the litigants because we don't have a 9019 23 scenario. THE COURT: I was told that you didn't want me to. 24 25 MR. ACAMPORA: No. That's not what we said.

| | Page 45 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: That's what I was told. |
| 2 | MR. ACAMPORA: Your Honor, Judge, Judge |
| 3 | THE COURT: No. No. Not you. It filtered |
| 4 | back to me. |
| 5 | MR. ACAMPORA: By who? It wouldn't come from me. |
| 6 | THE COURT: It doesn't matter. It's my own |
| 7 | MR. ACAMPORA: Judge |
| 8 | THE COURT: I run my own show. |
| 9 | MR. ACAMPORA: we |
| 10 | THE COURT: Internally, not blaming you |
| 11 | MR. ACAMPORA: Okay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: so let's move on from that. |
| 13 | MR. ACAMPORA: We wanted |
| 14 | THE COURT: I have operated on the last several |
| 15 | weeks under the impression that nobody wanted my in |
| 16 | MR. ACAMPORA: I want your input. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Let me just tell you. I'm not blaming |
| 18 | you. |
| 19 | MR. ACAMPORA: I don't think you are. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Back off. |
| 21 | MR. ACAMPORA: I will tell you |
| 22 | THE COURT: I'm not |
| 23 | MR. ACAMPORA: I want your input. Mr. |
| 24 | Kleinberg, we wanted to avoid |
| 25 | THE COURT: Then what we should do, which I in |

Page 46 1 my opinion, is pick a date next week, Monday morning, 2 Monday, you and Mr. Kleinberg and whoever -- Mr. Friedman, 3 and if Mr. Kleinberg wants the head of their trustees to come in, sit down and you can hear what I want and we can 4 5 have a -- what I think you should do, and we --6 MR. ACAMPORA: That would be --7 THE COURT: -- can have a discussion. You don't have -- I'm not dictating unless it's my way it's no way. 8 9 I'm perfectly willing to create some document that I can 10 say, yes, I'm okay with this. If you -- you guys are 11 welcome to come into court, you or anybody else --12 MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. 13 THE COURT: -- and argue with me why Mr. Friedman 14 shouldn't settle the lawsuit. He has rights to do certain 15 things, but it gives them a forum to discuss it. 16 MR. ACAMPORA: I --17 THE COURT: That's all I'm interested in. MR. ACAMPORA: That's all --18 THE COURT: I'm not trying to make -- I figured 19 20 out already the numbers in the settlement. 21 MR. ACAMPORA: I know you have. 22 THE COURT: So I understand why those numbers are 23 there. But you have people, then you're going to have people like this other group who have a different agenda. 24 25 They want this school to be Dowling College again and

Page 47 1 resurrect it. 2 MR. ACAMPORA: It's not going --THE COURT: That's not his -- Mr. Friedman's 3 4 issue, my issue or your issue. Go to whoever bought it and 5 convince him. That's not damages. That's a different 6 thing. 7 But if we can get something that's circulated, 8 however you couch it. Mr. Kleinberg will tell his clients 9 that we can reach an accommodation. He's free to put in, 10 and we disagree with everything here. We believe we're the 11 best people on -- I don't care. I just want people to be 12 able to read in their own minds, so when they then say, you 13 did these under a rock, no, I didn't. If you didn't want to come in, you didn't come in. You were free to come in and 14 15 make an argument. You may prevail. I don't know. Mr. 16 Friedman may agree with you. 17 MR. ACAMPORA: Recognizing some -- a couple of 18 points. That's what I thought we were going to do. 19 THE COURT: Then it's my fault. 20 MR. ACAMPORA: I would love to do that. THE COURT: Then I -- then part of my ire in this 21 22 case is --23 MR. ACAMPORA: Well, when we were here --THE COURT: -- I thought I was rejected, not 24 25 rejected in that sense, rejected for other reasons.

Page 48 1 MR. ACAMPORA: When we were here the last time Mr. 2 Kleinberg and I were waiting for you to say to us, hey, let's go sit down and here's some of the things we need to 3 4 do --5 THE COURT: Okay. My -- fully my fault. 6 MR. ACAMPORA: So that --7 THE COURT: Now let's start over --8 MR. ACAMPORA: -- miscommunication was --9 THE COURT: -- the rest of our lives. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: -- that miscommunication is done. 11 THE COURT: Right. 12 MR. ACAMPORA: And that way I can then take that 13 kind of format and use it for my KPMG which I will then put 14 on the docket because Your Honor did come out and say, I'm 15 concerned. They haven't had notice. Again, we have an 16 issue about --17 THE COURT: Yeah. I have been waiting to see --18 MR. ACAMPORA: -- 9019 --19 THE COURT: -- something for three weeks. 20 MR. ACAMPORA: Well, we sent --21 THE COURT: And you've been waiting for me to talk 22 to you. 23 MR. ACAMPORA: We sent the Rule 41 and the 24 settlement agreement to your chambers --25 THE COURT: No, after our last hearing.

| | Page 49 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ACAMPORA: No, before. |
| 2 | THE COURT: No. I'm saying after our last hearing |
| 3 | |
| 4 | MR. ACAMPORA: Oh. |
| 5 | THE COURT: you were going to confer with Mr. |
| 6 | Kleinberg it doesn't matter. |
| 7 | MR. ACAMPORA: I think the timeline is a little |
| 8 | muddled. |
| 9 | THE COURT: It doesn't matter. |
| 10 | MR. ACAMPORA: How about we start over? |
| 11 | THE COURT: I will take the blame for it. |
| 12 | MR. ACAMPORA: We will resend it to you. |
| 13 | THE COURT: You don't have to resend. I got what |
| 14 | you already sent me. |
| 15 | MR. ACAMPORA: We modified it even further |
| 16 | THE COURT: Send me the modified |
| 17 | MR. ACAMPORA: based upon your conversation. |
| 18 | THE COURT: and then I will make time. We can |
| 19 | do it next Tuesday see if Mr. Kleinberg is available. I |
| 20 | know it's his and everybody else. I'm not forcing this, |
| 21 | next Tuesday at 10. I would like to do it before the Labor |
| 22 | Day weekend, so then after Labor Day we can between Labor |
| 23 | Day and the end we can finish this. |
| 24 | MR. ACAMPORA: So then we're going to have an |
| 25 | evidentiary potentially evidentiary hearings on the |

Page 50 1 student claims. Look, I'm not here to -- I'm not going to 2 stand up here, but I always feel like I stand up here and raise the, yeah, but there's a problem with that. There's 3 4 no pleading that says fraud. So none of the elements of 5 fraud --6 THE COURT: Well, you guys acknowledged their 7 claim. 8 MR. ACAMPORA: That's the gist of what they're 9 trying to say. And I would agree with you. They're saying, 10 hey, look, I would have transferred to some other college in 11 2015 had I know that. The flipside of that is, everybody knew Dowling 12 13 was in trouble. The financials as you see in the complaint 14 show that Dowling is losing money. It's struggling to 15 maintain its student body. That's the buzz. 16 THE COURT: Of the objection -- listen, of the 17 objection -- hold it. Hold it. Of the objections we have, 18 he probably knows better than you, of the objections how 19 many of them relate to students and how many dollars does 20 that relate to? 21 MR. POWERS: It's \$200,000 and there's four 22 students. 23 MR. ACAMPORA: It's the -- are you talking about the total students? 24 25 MR. POWERS: Like the total --

| | Page 51 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: Those are the only four that |
| 2 | responded. |
| | |
| 3 | MR. POWERS: That responded to anything. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Five. |
| 5 | MR. ACAMPORA: Four. |
| 6 | MR. POWERS: There's four and it's \$200,000. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Four. And if they get claims, they're |
| 8 | going to get a |
| 9 | MR. POWERS: A percentage. |
| 10 | THE COURT: some percentage of that. |
| 11 | MR. POWERS: Correct. |
| 12 | THE COURT: You're free to try to resolve it with |
| 13 | them. |
| 14 | MR. ACAMPORA: And the balance? |
| 15 | THE COURT: What? |
| 16 | MR. ACAMPORA: And the remaining 100 claims, is |
| 17 | the motion granted or |
| 18 | THE COURT: I can't force people to file |
| 19 | objections. |
| 20 | MR. ACAMPORA: So we're going to have to |
| 21 | THE COURT: I mean, you have rights, too. You |
| 22 | gave them a time by which they had to file an objection. |
| 23 | They can have the greatest claims in the world, unless they |
| 24 | come in. Now they'll have a chance to have a discussion |
| 25 | about the settlement, but |

Page 52 1 MR. ACAMPORA: Potentially. 2 THE COURT: -- they may not even have that chance if they're not creditors anymore. I don't know where that 3 goes. But it's nothing -- I can't --4 5 MR. ACAMPORA: So you're granting the motion as to 6 the --7 THE COURT: -- muse on that. 8 MR. ACAMPORA: You're granting the motion as to 9 the other one hundred that haven't responded, otherwise I 10 have a free for all, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: They had to submit objections to claims. 12 They had exactly the same information as the 13 parties who did object. Today was the day by which -- or 14 actually it passed. And so, no, I can't extend it on my 15 I don't have the power under the code to do that. 16 MR. ACAMPORA: So --17 THE COURT: So you're saying to me, I assume, that since their time has run, much like any statute of 18 19 limitations, under the code I must grant the motion relative 20 to these claims. 21 MR. ACAMPORA: I would never tell you you must do 22 anything. We know each other a very long time. 23 THE COURT: In this case it wouldn't be bad. 24 I'll grant that motion. 25 MR. ACAMPORA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 53 1 And now if Your Honor indulges me one more minute, 2 this may fall under the rubric of be careful what you ask for, will I get it. 3 If -- assuming we can get the Department of 4 5 Education done, assuming we can get the other 6 (indiscernible) student claims done, and I believe I can get 7 KPMG and the Dowling DNO claims done, the only thing left in 8 this matter is Your Honor's fee order for October 16th. 9 THE COURT: Let's do A before we do B. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: Okay. My only -- here's my only 11 I'm not -- we would like to close this case in the 12 third quarter, if possible, so that we can avoid --13 THE COURT: You want a practical answer or you 14 want a legal answer? 15 MR. ACAMPORA: I'll take both. 16 THE COURT: Well, the legal I don't have because I 17 can't think of it yet. 18 (Laughter) 19 MR. ACAMPORA: Okay. 20 THE COURT: My view of the world, as you know, is 21 I have never had a problem paying lawyers. I was a lawyer 22 for a long time. And there have been cases here where I 23 haven't for -- and I've written decisions on it, for 24 reasons, not in this case, in other cases. 25 Things should be looked at in the totality of the

| | Page 54 |
|----|--|
| 1 | in the totality. Now I could break this up between up to |
| 2 | the date of confirmation because you all argue I have |
| 3 | nothing to say post-confirmation. That's your argument. I |
| 4 | have plenty to say pre. All of the fees of your firm as |
| 5 | creditors' committee counsel |
| 6 | MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. |
| 7 | THE COURT: are subject to this Court and it |
| 8 | all deals with what happened between the beginning and the |
| 9 | time the case was confirmed. |
| 10 | MR. ACAMPORA: Right. |
| 11 | THE COURT: I can measure what was done during |
| 12 | that period of time and then either say, well, the whole |
| 13 | settlement and everything was done after, so nothing was |
| 14 | accomplished, A, which is don't. Let me finish before |
| 15 | you |
| 16 | MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. |
| 17 | THE COURT: fall down. |
| 18 | (Laughter) |
| 19 | THE COURT: I don't know in this settlement let |
| 20 | me backtrack. |
| 21 | Bulls, bears and pigs, it's one of the great |
| 22 | expressions of all time. |
| 23 | MR. ACAMPORA: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: You are smart enough. You're young. |
| 25 | Mr. Friedman knows. Don't be a pig. If the total for this |

Page 55 1 project pre and post is a reasonable number, because I don't 2 -- you say I can't tell you what to take out of the 3 "settlements," we're not going to have a problem. 4 If the total -- and you can guess what totals are as well as I because we've all dealt with this stuff -- is 5 6 more than would make sense to people, then we're going to 7 have a problem and the only place I can get it is the pre. 8 I don't want to do that. I would prefer you guys take that 9 number, some other number here, and say here's the answer. 10 We're getting blank. We've recovered --11 MR. ACAMPORA: Blank. 12 THE COURT: -- blank. I don't know what it, 13 because I don't know what it will be. The majority of that 14 is part of the ten percent, which goes to -- play with it 15 any way you want. And it was solely through our efforts 16 that we did this. The complaint forced us. I got that. 17 But if I find that 60 percent of the money is 18 going to fees, and not ten percent, but two percent, then 19 we're going to have an issue. So just --20 MR. ACAMPORA: Always. 21 THE COURT: -- be smart. And it's not like you're 22 doing this for the first time. 23 MR. ACAMPORA: This is not the first rodeo. 24 THE COURT: Right. 25 MR. ACAMPORA: What I would like to do, Your

Page 56 1 Honor, is to take the next couple of days, get the measure 2 and when we have our meeting on Tuesday, we would like to 3 try to close this --THE COURT: If you don't want to --4 5 MR. ACAMPORA: -- and get as much --6 THE COURT: -- talk about this --7 MR. ACAMPORA: -- as much money as we can --8 THE COURT: If you don't want to discuss it in 9 front of Mr. Kleinberg or anybody else, that's okay, too. 10 MR. ACAMPORA: Fine. 11 THE COURT: The fee portion of this. 12 MR. ACAMPORA: Fine. We really want to get this 13 14 THE COURT: Because I don't want them to say, 15 well, we were going to attribute this to fees and now that 16 you're not collecting it, we're going to cut down the 17 settlement. That ain't going to happen. MR. ACAMPORA: That's fine. 18 19 THE COURT: And I don't want the secured creditor 20 coming in and saying, well, since it would be our money, why 21 -- we don't want you to do --22 MR. ACAMPORA: They get it. 23 THE COURT: My recommendation to the secured 24 creditors, don't come back here. You've -- just don't come 25 back here.

| | Page 57 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ACAMPORA: I don't think they will be. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Not on this case. Just don't show up |
| 3 | again. I like you guys, but don't show up again. |
| 4 | And as far as you all go, just come up with a |
| 5 | number that represents a reasonable effort because that's my |
| 6 | goal. I pay reasonable amounts of fees. That's what we're |
| 7 | charged to do. |
| 8 | MR. ACAMPORA: Uh-huh. |
| 9 | THE COURT: And don't conflate post and pre. It's |
| 10 | one big pot to me. |
| 11 | MR. ACAMPORA: Very well. We will check with |
| 12 | chambers we'll check with Mr. Kleinberg, check with |
| 13 | chambers and set something up for Tuesday. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 15 | MR. ACAMPORA: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sorry we got upset before I got |
| 17 | upset. It was my fault because I didn't realize you guys |
| 18 | were waiting for me to get back to you. I take the blame on |
| 19 | that one. |
| 20 | Thank you, guys. |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, these proceedings concluded at 2:48 p.m.) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| | | Page 58 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | INDEX | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | RULINGS | |
| 4 | | Page |
| 5 | [724] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge | |
| 6 | Claims | 17 |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | [726] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge | |
| 9 | Claims | 28 |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | [728] Motion to Object/Reclassify/Reduce/Expunge | |
| 12 | Claims | 52 |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| | Page 59 |
|----------|---|
| 1 | CERTIFICATION |
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, Sherri L. Breach, certify that the foregoing |
| 4 | transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. |
| 5 | Digitally signed by Sonya Landanski Hyde |
| 6 | Sonya Landanski Hyde DN: cn=Sonya Landanski Hyde, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Candanski Hyde |
| 7 | Date: 2019.08.28 16:00:04 -04'00' |
| 8 | Sherri L. Breach |
| 9 | AAERT Certified Electronic Reporter & Transcriber CERT*D-397 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Date: August 27, 2019 |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Veritext Legal Solutions |
| 23 | 330 Old Country Road |
| 24 | Suite 300 |
| 25 | Mineola, NY 11501 |

[**& - 420**] Page 1

| & | 16-75545 1:7 | 210 2:10 | 317 2:15 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | 161 4:3 | 212 4:3 | 318 3:16 |
| & 2:9,11,12,21 | 163 4:6 | 215 4:8 | 330 59:23 |
| 3:2,3,17 4:10 59:9 | 165 3:14 | 216 4:6 | 339 4:9 |
| 1 | 166 4:8 | 217 4:3 | 340 4:2 |
| 1,400 31:6 | 168 3:13 | 222 2:21 | 342 4:8 |
| 10 49:21 | 169 3:9 18:13 | 223 4:10 | 350 4:5 |
| 10,000 35:16 | 16th 53:8 | 224 4:3 | 351 4:2 |
| 100 5:4 51:16 | 17 58:6 | 226 2:8 | 352 2:11 |
| 105 2:10 | 170 4:8 | 229 2:16 | 358 3:7 |
| 11 14:7 | 171 2:9 | 237 4:4 | 359 4:10 |
| 114 4:5 | 177 2:15 | 239 4:4 | 364 4:10 |
| 11501 59:25 | 179 3:5 | 240 2:20 | 366 4:9 |
| 11722 1:15 | 180 4:2 | 241 2:13 | 374 4:8 |
| 11753 5:5 | 186 2:7 | 242 2:16 | 376 4:10 |
| 119 4:5 | 187 3:3 | 243 4:7 | 378 4:7 |
| 12 6:17 31:12 | 188 2:8 | 246 4:3 | 379 4:6 |
| 42:10 | 19 20:18 | 249 2:16 | 383 3:11 19:14 |
| 122 2:18 | 193 4:9 | 251 4:5 | 385 4:7 |
| 123 2:19 | 194 3:2 18:16 | 252 3:6 | 386 4:5 |
| 125 3:11 | 19:13 | 257 4:4 | 387 4:10 |
| 126 4:6 | 195 4:7 | 266 4:2 | 389 4:10 |
| 12th 16:9 | 197 4:6 | 27 3:14 59:11 | 39 3:15 6:2 |
| 130 2:3 | 199 4:5 | 272 4:3 | 391 3:16 |
| 131 2:2 | 1:47 1:18 | 275 4:7 | 392 4:4 |
| 132 2:2 | 2 | 276 3:10 | 393 3:14 |
| 133 2:7 | | 28 58:9 | 397 59:9 |
| 137 4:9 | 200 4:9 | 282 2:11 | 4 |
| 14,5 20:18 | 200,000 50:21 | 283 2:17 | |
| 141 4:9 | 51:6 | 284 4:8 | 400 4:7 |
| 142 3:10 | 201 4:3 | 288 3:15 | 401 4:6 |
| 143 4:4 | 2014 36:24 | 290 1:14 3:4 | 403 4:4 |
| 149 4:10 | 2015 36:24 50:11 | 291 4:3 | 405 3:6 |
| 15 2:3 | 2016 35:10 36:24 | 292 4:2 | 408 3:2 18:14 |
| 15,000 24:23 | 38:23 | 293 3:12 | 409 2:13 |
| 26:18 | 2019 1:17 59:11 | 2:48 57:22 | 41 6:2,18 7:1 |
| 15,0000 20:20 | 202 4:8 | 3 | 48:23 |
| 15,5 24:23 | 203 3:8 | | 410 4:9 |
| 150 2:14 | 205 2:14 | 3 2:4 | 412 4:6 |
| 153 3:11 19:15 | 206 2:12 | 300 5:4 59:24 | 416 4:5 |
| 158 4:4 | 207 4:7 | 307 4:4 | 417 4:10 |
| 15th 17:13,15 | 208 2:10 | 308 4:7 | 419 4:9 |
| 28:12,14 | 21 1:17 | 316 2:17 | 420 4:4 |
| | | | |

[422 - agreement] Page 2

| 400 40 | I | 10.10.00 | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 422 4:8 | 5 | able 18:12 20:4 | accountant 14:12 |
| 424 4:5 | 5 12:16 14:8 | 37:22 44:10 47:12 | 14:13 |
| 425 3:12 | 500 20:16,18 | absolutely 33:17 | accountants |
| 427 4:9 | 52 58:12 | 40:25 | 12:21 39:2 |
| 431 4:3 | 53 3:5 20:10,16 | abundantly 15:3 | accounting 41:3 |
| 432 4:8 | 54 38:4 | acampora 2:22 | acct 3:18 |
| 433 4:8 | 6 | 3:18 4:11 5:8 6:5 | accurate 12:24 |
| 435 4:5 | 60 55:17 | 6:6,11 9:12,16,21 | 13:3 59:4 |
| 438 4:2 | | 9:25 10:2,8,10,23 | acknowledged |
| 439 4:4 | 7 | 12:24 13:1,3,6,13 | 30:19 50:6 |
| 442 4:2 | 7 18:21 | 13:16,19,21,22,23 | act 38:22 |
| 443 2:2 | 72 2:4 | 14:1,5 16:2 31:17 | action 30:14 |
| 444 4:4 | 724 2:1 16:10 58:5 | 34:23 35:2,7,10 | 38:22 39:19,20 |
| 445 4:3 | 724-5 16:10 | 35:12,21,25 36:3 | actions 8:2 |
| 447 3:7 | 726 3:1 17:13 58:8 | 36:9,11,13,16,20 | actual 11:13 |
| 448 2:8 | 727 17:14 | 36:22 37:1,9,11 | addison 3:8 |
| 45 14:7 | 728 4:1 28:12 | 37:13,18 38:7,19 | additional 18:11 |
| 451 3:8 | 58:11 | 39:15 40:11,14,17 | address 10:23 |
| 453 4:5 | 729 28:13 | 40:20,22 41:1,4 | adequate 42:17 |
| 454 4:3 | 75 3:4 | 41:11,14,17,21 | 44:5 |
| 455 3:9 | 779 4:2 | 42:6,10 43:2,22 | adequately 7:12 |
| 459 4:6 | 780 3:17 | 44:14,16,25 45:2 | adjourn 20:8 27:7 |
| 460 4:9 | 782 4:6 | 45:5,7,9,11,13,16 | administrator |
| 461 4:6 | 783 2:5 | 45:19,21,23 46:6 | 22:24 23:18,21 |
| 462 4:2 | 784 3:16 19:24 | 46:12,16,18,21 | 24:1,21 25:17 |
| 463 4:2 464 4:8 | 8 | 47:2,17,20,23 | administrators |
| | 8,000 31:12 37:6 | 48:1,6,8,10,12,18 | 31:25 |
| 470 4:10 471 4:5 | 801 4:9 | 48:20,23 49:1,4,7 | adversary 7:4 affidavit 16:10 |
| 471 4.3 474 4:7 | 802 2:6 | 49:10,12,15,17,24 50:8,23 51:5,14 | 17:14 28:13 30:18 |
| 474 4.7 475 4:7 | 803 4:10 | 51:16,20 52:1,5,8 | 30:22 38:17 |
| 476 4:5 | 81 4:10 | 52:16,21,25 53:10 | afternoon 6:5,9 |
| 478 4:6 | 85 3:12 | 53:15,19 54:6,10 | agenda 46:24 |
| 479 4:4 | 9 | 54:16,23 55:11,20 | ago 10:3 |
| 482 2:5 | 9 3:3 20:14 | 55:23,25 56:5,7 | agree 8:1 9:10,10 |
| 486 4:7 | 90 40:21 | 56:10,12,18,22 | 14:1 15:7 18:7 |
| 489 4:6 | 90 40.21 9019 10:16 44:3 | 57:1,8,11,15 | 22:15 24:4 30:25 |
| 490 4:7 | 44:22 48:18 | accepted 43:5 | 33:23 37:10 42:15 |
| 493 4:9 | 99 26:19 | accommodation | 44:3 47:16 50:9 |
| 496 4:3 | | 40:7 47:9 | agreed 8:3 19:4 |
| 499 4:8 | a | accomplished | agreeing 19:9 |
| | aaert 59:9 | 54:14 | agreement 7:7 |
| | ability 10:12 30:9 32:7 | | 15:4,5 48:24 |
| | | | |

| agreements 15:5 | appel 3:7 | aware 6:14,24 7:8 | bertha 2:9 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 15:20 22:4 30:7 | appreciate 18:6 | 11:8 18:3 32:2 | best 43:6,13 47:11 |
| agrees 24:1 | approval 8:12 | 34:17 | better 15:22 41:4 |
| ahead 8:22 9:24 | approve 9:2 34:19 | b | 50:18 |
| 10:1 36:2 | april 31:6 | b 1:21 2:17 3:2 | bevano 30:18 |
| ain't 56:17 | argue 13:10 32:5 | 39:2,2 53:9 | beverly 2:11 |
| airwald 2:2 | 40:6 44:6,8,8,9 | back 21:24 22:13 | bevona 21:15 |
| airweld 2:2 | 46:13 54:2 | 22:16,16 25:9 | 23:24 25:25 26:1 |
| alan 18:15 | arguing 10:5 22:9 | 26:24 33:21 45:4 | 26:9 |
| alfonse 1:13 | 30:24 32:1,22 | 45:20 56:24,25 | big 40:23 57:10 |
| alive 22:5 25:1 | 38:3,8,17 | 57:18 | bills 12:8 33:3 |
| allan 3:2 | argument 7:24 | backtrack 54:20 | binding 34:20 |
| allegation 40:18 | 22:12 25:13 32:19 | bad 35:18 52:23 | bingo 36:15 |
| allegations 12:20 | 34:11 37:19,20 | | bit 7:9 10:18 |
| 31:1 | 38:23,25 39:13,17 | badly 29:18 balance 51:14 | 18:16 |
| alleging 29:23 | 40:5 47:15 54:3 | | black 21:18 |
| alumni 7:13 11:3 | arthur 42:8,8 | balmuth 3:8 bank 11:9 | blame 41:6 49:11 |
| 11:7,11,12,13 | article 7:10 11:5 | | 57:18 |
| amended 16:8 | 39:24 43:16 | bankruptcy 1:1 | blaming 45:10,17 |
| amount 19:16,20 | articulate 39:22 | 1:12,23 11:9 | blank 55:10,11,12 |
| 30:11 | ashvin 2:7 | barnes 3:2 | block 3:3 |
| amounts 32:10 | aside 38:2 | based 10:11 17:17 | body 50:15 |
| 57:6 | asked 14:9 | 18:20 29:8 36:6 | bond 16:24,25 |
| analysis 30:22 | asset 41:23 | 49:17 | bondholder 17:1 |
| analysts 3:7 | assist 44:22 | basically 22:9 | bondholders |
| angie 2:2 | associates 3:15 | basis 15:8 21:8 | 16:17 33:4 |
| ann 2:7 | association 11:3,7 | 33:18 | bonnie 3:3 17:22 |
| answer 12:15 | 11:11,12,12,13 | bears 54:21 | 17:24 18:3 |
| 33:12 53:13,14 | assume 12:12,13 | beginning 33:2 | books 14:12 17:18 |
| 55:9 | 52:17 | 54:8 | 18:12 |
| anthony 2:21 3:18 | assuming 53:4,5 | behalf 2:22 3:18 | bought 47:4 |
| 4:11 5:8 6:6 | attach 33:17 | 4:11 14:14,14 | boxwell 2:15 |
| anybody 7:12 | attempt 31:18 | belief 7:11 8:19 | breach 4:25 59:3 |
| 16:20,21 25:7 | attorney 20:2 | 8:20 | 59:8 |
| 34:4 46:11 56:9 | attorneys 5:3 | believe 11:22 | break 54:1 |
| anymore 8:16,25 | attribute 56:15 | 15:13,15 17:3 | bri 3:4 |
| 9:15,18 52:3 | august 1:17 59:11 | 18:23 20:15 26:6 | brian 5:7 6:7 16:4 |
| anyway 19:11 | authority 15:15 | 34:9 36:5 39:8 | brings 28:10 |
| 20:5 | available 20:3 | 41:22 43:12 47:10 | brunswick 3:6 |
| apart 19:6 23:5 | 23:10 49:19 | 53:6 | buckets 16:25 |
| apfel 2:21 | avoid 40:8 45:24 | believes 8:12 | bucks 31:6 |
| apparently 7:11 | 53:12 | 26:23 | building 17:25 |
| | | bent 44:20 | 6 |
| | | | |

[bulls - connection] Page 4

| bulls 54:21 | caused 32:6 | 33:9 34:9 40:24 | college's 11:17 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| bunch 12:12 | causes 38:22 | 50:7 | colorable 34:9 |
| business 21:23 | caution 31:25 | claimants 16:12 | come 9:9 21:3 |
| buy 11:16 32:15 | central 1:15 | 17:15 18:11 28:14 | 22:3 26:24 32:5 |
| buying 37:6,18 | cert 16:11 17:14 | claims 6:11,14 | 44:6,8 45:5 46:4 |
| buzz 50:15 | 59:9 | 7:18 10:14 11:21 | 46:11 47:14,14,14 |
| bv 3:7 | certain 8:21 12:21 | 11:22,25 12:2,16 | 48:14 51:24 56:24 |
| c | 15:14,23 29:8 | 14:7,9 15:24 16:9 | 56:24 57:4 |
| c 2:8,21 3:18 4:11 | 30:11,12 41:25 | 16:13,14 17:1,3 | comes 15:17 |
| 5:1 6:1 39:2,2 | 46:14 | 17:17 18:10 19:3 | 23:19 25:7 |
| 59:1,1 | certainly 37:4 | 20:14 23:14,15 | coming 56:20 |
| caldarella 3:12 | certified 59:9 | 27:21 28:2,12 | commack 41:24 |
| camera 7:6,7 | certify 59:3 | 29:1,25 32:14 | commenced 6:25 |
| 44:17 | challenge 15:18 | 33:7,8,17 34:9,20 | comment 8:4 |
| capitalize 42:13 | challenges 15:17 | 39:4,5 50:1 51:7 | 53:11 |
| caputo 3:12 | chambers 48:24 | 51:16,23 52:12,20 | commit 33:14 |
| card 26:9,9 | 57:12,13 | 53:6,7 58:6,9,12 | committed 31:20 |
| care 14:23,23 | chance 51:24 52:2 | clarification | 32:2 |
| 16:16,23 17:2 | change 22:4 | 33:16 35:3 | committee 15:1 |
| 21:17 25:1 43:20 | chapter 14:7 | clear 7:15 8:17 | 54:5 |
| 44:9 47:11 | 18:21 | 11:6 15:3,11,12 | committee's 15:1 |
| careful 24:17 26:3 | charged 57:7 | 15:12 23:13 40:9 | communicate |
| 53:2 | charitable 21:20 | clearly 8:19 | 43:23 |
| carlson 2:4 | charter 11:17 | clerk 6:2 | company 2:18 |
| carole 2:8 | check 35:5,5 | clients 43:24 47:8 | 3:13 41:23 |
| case 1:7 6:20 7:3 | 57:11,12,12 | close 19:1 53:11 | complaint 7:23 |
| 7:15,19,22 8:2,18 | chiropractic 3:3 | 56:3 | 12:17 13:2,8 |
| 9:6 12:16 14:10 | choose 34:13,13 | closed 11:4 29:14 | 14:16 36:9 50:13 |
| 14:13 15:11,16 | chose 43:5,24,25 | 35:7 | 55:16 |
| 18:2 23:14 26:22 | circulated 7:10 | closure 32:25 | complete 30:21 |
| 29:8 31:14,18,23 | 19:5 47:7 | 39:10 | concept 22:2 |
| 32:9,10 33:9,11 | circumstances | clue 12:13 | concerned 10:15 |
| 33:14 34:22 36:5 | 29:9 | code 52:15,19 | 12:4 48:15 |
| 38:21 40:16 41:23 | cit 3:4 | cognizable 29:19 | concluded 57:22 |
| 42:25 43:9 44:7 | civil 38:9 | cold 30:9 | confer 34:23 49:5 |
| 47:22 52:23 53:11 | claim 2:1 3:1 4:1 | collect 35:12 | confirmation |
| 53:24 54:9 57:2 | 7:2 18:13,14,16 | collected 12:11 | 10:19 54:2,3 |
| cases 21:18 31:22 | 18:19 19:9,10,13 | collecting 56:16 | confirmed 54:9 |
| 34:11 42:2 53:22 | 19:14,15,17,22,24 | college 1:7 3:2 5:3 | conflate 57:9 |
| 53:24 | 20:9,10,14,14,16 | 6:3 7:14 8:1 11:3 | conflating 22:22 |
| cause 30:13 39:19 | 24:2,14,16 25:13 | 11:16 37:16 46:25 | confuses 10:17 |
| 39:20 | 26:14 27:5,7,9 | 50:10 | connection 25:23 |
| | 29:11,19 30:1,3 | | 29:22 |
| | | | |
| | Veritext Les | - 1. C - 14! | |

[consequences - dialogue]

| consequences | 21:13,17 22:4,13 | covello 2:4 | deals 54:8 |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 22:19 25:9,10,11 | 22:15,19 23:2,4,6 | crazy 42:11 | dealt 21:17 55:5 |
| consistent 10:2 | 23:8,10,13,20,23 | create 46:9 | deanna 3:5 20:16 |
| consolidated | 24:1,5,7,12,15 | created 11:4 | debt 30:11 38:5 |
| 18:18 | 25:20,22 26:1,11 | creates 25:3 41:24 | debtor 1:9 11:8 |
| constituency 7:25 | 26:13 27:2,4,12 | credit 35:17 | 11:23 18:19 32:11 |
| 10:22,23 | 27:14,17,19,22 | creditor 2:23 3:19 | debtor's 17:17 |
| contacted 18:11 | 28:1,4,8,16,20,23 | 4:12 5:3 6:7 16:5 | debtors 18:17,19 |
| 18:15 19:16 20:1 | 28:25 29:3,24 | 24:20 25:14 26:25 | debts 38:2 |
| continue 32:24 | 30:3,5,17 33:19 | 32:8 56:19 | decides 21:23 |
| 37:22 | 34:25 35:6,9,11 | creditors 6:21 | decision 7:22 8:5 |
| continuing 34:16 | 35:19,22 36:2,8 | 10:18 15:1,1 23:8 | 8:5 26:23 27:7 |
| control 37:7,14 | 36:10,12,15,19,21 | 23:11 24:19 26:16 | decisions 18:5 |
| conversation | 36:23 37:8,10,12 | 32:11 52:3 54:5 | 53:23 |
| 10:10 24:11 26:5 | 37:17,19 38:8,20 | 56:24 | declaring 38:16 |
| 44:2 49:17 | 39:16 40:12,15,18 | credits 30:12 | deed 42:12 |
| conversations | 40:21,25 41:2,5 | 31:11,12 35:16,16 | defendants 6:17 |
| 13:24 | 41:13,15,18,22 | 36:3 37:2,6 | 10:12 |
| convince 47:5 | 42:7,11 43:3,18 | culpable 42:16 | defense 41:2,3,5 |
| copy 13:4 | 43:23 44:15,24 | cut 56:16 | defenses 39:3 |
| correct 16:18 17:1 | 45:1,3,6,8,10,12 | cw 37:5 | defrauded 30:24 |
| 17:9,23 21:1 | 45:14,17,20,22,25 | cy 21:11 22:2 | 31:3 32:15,16,22 |
| 25:14 28:24 29:2 | 46:7,11,13,17,19 | 26:20 | 32:24 34:2,6 |
| 34:1 51:11 | 46:22 47:3,19,21 | d | 35:13,15 |
| couch 47:8 | 47:24 48:5,7,9,11 | d 6:1 39:2,2 58:1 | degree 30:9 31:11 |
| counsel 8:20 15:1 | 48:17,19,21,25 | u 0.1 39.2,2 38.1 59:9 | 37:23 |
| 15:2 16:5 54:5 | 49:2,5,9,11,13,16 | d'amato 1:13 | delaware 2:18,20 |
| country 8:22 | 49:18 50:6,16 | damages 29:22,25 | delta 3:5,6 |
| 59:23 | 51:1,4,7,10,12,15 | 30:13 31:4,9 32:6 | deluca 28:21 |
| couple 47:17 56:1 | 51:18,21 52:2,7 | 33:25 38:14 47:5 | demonstrate |
| course 29:16 | 52:11,17,23 53:9 | danyal 2:19,20 | 33:25 34:11 |
| court 1:1,12 6:10 | 53:13,16,20 54:7 | date 20:7,8 46:1 | dennis 2:9 |
| 7:8 8:22 9:2,14,17 | 54:7,11,17,19,24 | 54:2 59:11 | department 2:5,6 |
| 9:23 10:1,7,9,20 | 55:12,21,24 56:4 | david 2:8,9 | 3:17 17:4 19:25 |
| 11:25 12:7,25 | 56:6,8,11,14,19 | day 16:11 28:14 | 53:4 |
| 13:2,4,7,14,18,20 | 56:23 57:2,9,14 | 49:22,22,23 52:13 | determination |
| 13:22,25 14:3,6 | 57:16 | days 56:1 | 25:24 26:2 |
| 14:24,24 16:3,15 | court's 8:12 | dba 11:7 | determine 15:7 |
| 16:19,22 17:6,8 | courthouse 1:13 | dead 21:22 | 39:9 |
| 17:10,10,20,24,25 | courtney 2:3 | deal 7:19 8:7 | determining |
| 18:8,21 19:2,7,12 | courtroom 21:2 | 28:17 29:3 34:1 | 26:14 |
| 19:23 20:9,12,18 | 21:16 23:25 25:6 | 43:10 | dialogue 26:10 |
| 20:21,23 21:2,6,9 | | | |
| | | | |

[dictating - extent] Page 6

| distating 16.0 | dohonty 2.6 | ecro 1:25 | aggantially 10.9 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| dictating 46:8 difference 33:24 | doherty 3:6 | | essentially 19:8 19:17,19 21:8 |
| | doing 7:21 9:4,6,8 | education 2:5,6 | 30:24 38:22 |
| different 18:19 | 9:21 15:10,10,24 | 3:17 17:4 19:25 | |
| 21:10 24:22 25:2 | 25:5 31:24,25 | 53:5 | establish 33:23 |
| 26:7 29:8 31:4 | 42:24 43:21 55:22 | educational 29:12 | estate 14:14 21:25 |
| 32:17 38:6 42:20 | dollars 12:18,19 | 29:15 | 24:18 25:14 26:23 |
| 46:24 47:5 | 12:22 13:9 50:19 | effort 57:5 | 26:24 29:20 41:23 |
| differently 21:24 | donation 21:20,22 | efforts 55:15 | estates 18:18 |
| difficult 43:1 | donations 21:21 | either 9:10 12:12 | estimate 19:18 |
| directing 24:23 | dooppelt 2:14 | 14:19 17:18 21:23 | eventually 37:24 |
| 26:4,5 | door 31:6,7 | 27:9 28:3 30:18 | everybody 29:17 |
| disagree 8:13,21 | doors 11:4 30:6 | 33:12 37:20 40:7 | 49:20 50:12 |
| 9:10 15:8 47:10 | doshi 2:7 | 54:12 | everybody's |
| disagrees 7:10 | double 35:5 | electronic 59:9 | 14:25 |
| disallowed 17:18 | dowling 1:7 5:3 | elements 34:8 | evidence 22:10 |
| 28:3 | 6:2 7:13,25 11:3,4 | 50:4 | evidentiary 31:2 |
| disclosure 44:6 | 11:7,11,13 12:7,8 | eliminate 30:10 | 33:19 39:8 49:25 |
| discuss 9:13 46:15 | 12:10,11 14:22 | 39:19 | 49:25 |
| 56:8 | 20:25 22:7 29:12 | elinor 3:6 | exact 38:20 |
| discussed 10:13 | 29:14,20 30:5 | elkins 3:14 | exactly 7:1 35:23 |
| 10:16 | 31:19,25 33:14 | elsevier 3:7 | 52:12 |
| discussing 8:16 | 35:7 37:9,11,13 | eluding 38:18 | exclusive 22:3 |
| discussion 8:8 | 37:16 39:9 46:25 | emphasized 7:9 | exercise 40:4 |
| 13:20 39:21 46:7 | 50:12,14 53:7 | employee 17:24 | exist 11:23 34:7 |
| 51:24 | dowling's 39:1 | endow 22:7,8 | 37:21 |
| dismissal 44:5 | drafted 13:6,7 | endowed 20:24 | expense 3:7 |
| dismissals 6:18 | drafting 12:17 | 21:12 22:24 25:7 | explain 9:20 |
| dismissed 44:7 | dramatically 12:2 | energy 3:13 | 12:18 33:14 39:23 |
| distribute 21:11 | duplicative 16:8 | enforceable 8:14 | 42:23 |
| distributed 22:2 | 16:14,22 | enter 19:6 | explained 14:25 |
| 22:25 23:18 | duty 40:1,4,4 | entered 8:3 30:6 | 24:3 |
| district 1:2 17:25 | e | entering 43:17 | explaining 7:12 |
| 18:24 | e 1:21,21,22 5:1,1 | enterprises 3:6,9 | 7:13,22 |
| dno 6:16 7:7 53:7 | 6:1,1 39:2,3 58:1 | entire 7:25 | exposure 42:22 |
| dobel 2:16,18 | 59:1 | entitled 10:8,9 | expressions 54:22 |
| docket 6:21 7:3 | early 20:7 | 14:1,2 | expunge 2:1 3:1 |
| 48:14 | earn 37:23 | entity 11:14,22,22 | 4:1 58:5,8,11 |
| doctrine 21:11 | east 2:3 | 11:23 | extend 52:14 |
| document 7:6 | eastern 1:2 18:24 | esposito 28:21 | extension 20:1 |
| 44:17,20 46:9 | ecf 16:9,10 17:13 | esq 2:22 3:19 4:11 | extent 20:6 22:25 |
| documentation | 17:14 28:12,13 | 5:7,8 | 29:21 |
| 19:17,20 | economico 3:10 | essence 37:15,19 | |
| | Conomico 5.10 | | |
| | | | |
| | | ral Calutions | |

[f - guys] Page 7

| r | filtered 45:3 | 47:14 51:12 52:10 | 57:4 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| f | finalized 6:16 | friedman 2:22 | goal 42:21,23 57:6 |
| f 1:21 59:1 | finally 19:24 | 3:19 4:11 6:6,8 | goes 21:23 42:12 |
| face 40:23 | finance 3:4 | 8:20,20 11:2,15 | 52:4 55:14 |
| facie 33:8 | financials 50:13 | 43:4 46:2,13 | going 6:13 7:16 |
| facilitate 25:22,23 | find 12:9 55:17 | 47:16 54:25 | 8:10,17,18 9:9,18 |
| fact 22:12 31:18 | fine 9:6 10:7 14:5 | friedman's 47:3 | 12:1,5 14:22,24 |
| 42:3 | 39:17 44:13 56:10 | front 38:5 56:9 | 15:8,10 17:12 |
| facts 29:8 | 56:12,18 | fully 9:20 48:5 | 19:10,21 20:4 |
| failed 33:12,21 | finish 30:9 35:25 | fundamental | 24:24 26:20 27:19 |
| failure 39:1,2 | 37:1 49:23 54:14 | 31:16 33:12 | 28:23 31:7 32:3 |
| fair 18:5 | fire 3:9,13 | funds 22:25 23:10 | 32:17 33:6 34:6 |
| fairly 10:21 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| fairness 42:20 | firing 37:23 | 24:24 26:17,19 | 35:3 37:16,21,22 |
| faith 19:18 | firm 41:3 54:4 | further 49:15 | 40:1,22 41:7,9 |
| fall 53:2 54:17 | firm's 7:11 | future 29:12 | 43:8,8 44:6,7,22 |
| far 8:2 57:4 | first 16:7 29:4 | g | 46:23 47:2,18 |
| fashion 8:21 27:8 | 30:2 32:22 34:3 | g 2:11 6:1 | 49:5,24 50:1 51:8 |
| 32:23 | 55:22,23 | garnes 2:7 | 51:20 55:3,6,18 |
| father 42:4 | five 7:17 51:4 | gathering 6:17 | 55:19 56:15,16,17 |
| fault 37:4,5 47:19 | flat 17:4 | gayle 3:8 | gold 2:9,9 |
| 48:5 57:17 | flipside 50:12 | geeta 3:8 | golf 42:8 |
| federal 1:13,14 | folks 28:17 38:8 | general 23:11,15 | good 6:5,9 7:9 |
| fee 53:8 56:11 | 38:18 | 26:15 | 19:18 42:3,12 |
| feel 29:7 35:18 | footnote 30:19 | generally 30:5 | gotten 11:17 40:6 |
| 50:2 | force 43:14 51:18 | george 2:10,11 | graduate 37:16 |
| feels 29:16,17 | forced 55:16 | gerald 2:11 | grammy 3:9 |
| fees 54:4 55:18 | forcing 49:20 | getting 31:16 | grande 2:13 |
| 56:15 57:6 | foregoing 59:3 | 55:10 | grant 17:10 28:4 |
| fewer 36:16 | forget 12:18 | gillespie 2:16 | 33:6 52:19,24 |
| fight 26:21 | form 8:14 14:9 | gist 50:8 | granted 51:17 |
| figure 26:12 43:6 | format 48:13 | give 6:12 8:4 13:4 | granting 52:5,8 |
| figured 46:19 | forms 38:22 | 22:12,16 24:2,20 | great 54:21 |
| file 6:23 18:9 | forum 26:7 46:15 | 25:15 26:2,4,9 | greatest 51:23 |
| 51:18,22 | found 12:18,22,22 | 30:11 38:13 | grief 43:9 |
| filed 11:8,9 16:9 | four 28:22,25 | gives 46:15 | grossman 1:22 |
| 16:11 17:13,14,21 | 50:21 51:1,5,6,7 | giving 22:16 | group 46:24 |
| 18:4,16,19 19:3 | fraud 30:20 31:20 | 35:13 | guess 16:23 20:19 |
| 19:14,17 20:15,17 | 32:2,6 33:14 34:4 | go 8:22 9:24 10:1 | 55:4 |
| 28:12,18 30:23 | 34:8,12 36:4 | 15:16 21:24 26:20 | guys 7:16 8:10 9:5 |
| 31:14 40:16 | 38:13,15,16 39:6 | 27:19 29:6 32:20 | 12:13 24:22 25:4 |
| filing 6:21,25 | 39:10 50:4,5 | | 25:22 30:19,23 |
| filings 17:7 | free 8:14,22 9:24 | 33:1,2,4,4,4 35:5 35:23 36:2,6 | 31:2 34:16 38:3 |
| inings 17.7 | 22:3 26:24 47:9 | 41:15 47:4 48:3 | 40:6 41:7 43:17 |
| | | 41:13 47:4 48:3 | |
| Veritext Legal Solutions | | | |

[guys - know] Page 8

| 46:10 50:6 55:8 | honor 6:5,9,12,24 | individual 11:14 | joan 2:12 |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 57:3,17,20 | 7:5 9:12 10:6 11:8 | 29:8 32:8 | job 15:6 43:5 |
| h | 11:21 13:1,23 | indulges 53:1 | john 2:13 |
| h 2:9 | 16:4,8,13,18 17:1 | inflammatory | joseph 2:13 3:10 |
| half 12:21 13:9 | 17:11,12 18:6 | 11:20 | journal 3:17 |
| 36:24 | 19:4,5,15,21 20:1 | information 18:12 | jt 2:9 |
| handled 15:21 | 20:14 21:1,8,16 | 38:13 52:12 | judge 1:23 18:2,3 |
| happen 56:17 | 22:18,21 24:4,11 | informed 26:8 | 35:21 39:6 45:2,2 |
| happened 7:23 | 26:8 28:6,11,19 | injured 39:12,14 | 45:7 |
| 13:10 14:22 29:20 | 29:2,6,16 30:16 | 42:15,16,18 43:12 | judgment 39:7 |
| 29:23 33:1 38:7 | 35:2,17 36:20 | injuries 42:19 | july 16:9 17:13,15 |
| 39:20 54:8 | 37:3 40:22 44:16 | injury 42:17 | 28:12,14 35:7 |
| happens 21:20 | 44:20 45:2 48:14 | input 45:16,23 | june 31:7 35:8,9 |
| 27:6 | 52:10,25 53:1 | institution 21:23 | 35:10 |
| happy 24:10 43:8 | 56:1 57:15,21 | 25:8 29:12,15 | justice 42:20 |
| harold 2:12 | honor's 33:15 | 30:6,10 34:6 | justin 2:4 28:20 |
| hartford 3:9,10 | 53:8 | 41:24 | k |
| 3:16 | hopeful 20:3 | insurance 3:9,13 | kazckowski 2:13 |
| hawkins 2:9 | hopefully 27:4 | intention 9:21 | keep 15:10,10 |
| he'll 26:22 | horrendous 8:5 | interest 9:1 | 42:21 |
| head 46:3 | housekeeping | interested 8:8 | kenneth 2:14 |
| hear 8:25 9:15,18 | 28:7 | 46:17 | ketterer 28:20 |
| 12:3 21:4 34:21 | huge 32:10 | interests 32:12,12 | 29:4 |
| 46:4 | huh 38:19 44:14 | 32:14,15 | kind 29:13 48:13 |
| heard 7:24 | 46:12 54:6,16 | internally 45:10 | kleinberg 44:18 |
| hearing 6:19 8:11 | 57:8 | interpreting 25:2 | 45:24 46:2,3 47:8 |
| 15:18 31:2 33:19 | hundred 52:9 | involved 9:8 | 48:2 49:6,19 56:9 |
| 37:3 39:8 48:25 | hundreds 43:17 | ire 47:21 | 57:12 |
| 49:2 | hurdles 38:11 | irrevocable 2:19 | kleinberg's 43:24 |
| hearings 31:19 | hurt 7:25 | 2:20 | kmg 40:10 |
| 49:25 | hypothetically | irwin 2:12 | knew 31:7 34:7 |
| help 25:4 29:11 | 41:6 | islip 1:15 2:3 | 39:25 40:1,3 42:4 |
| hey 48:2 50:10 | i | issue 22:20 24:22 | 42:7,8 50:12 |
| highlighted 7:17 | impact 18:4 | 25:2 26:25,25,25 | know 9:4,7 10:20 |
| hired 14:12,13 | impression 45:15 | 31:4,16 34:17 | 13:10,16 14:15,17 |
| history 32:9 | including 31:22 | 38:6 44:15 47:4,4 | 14:17,18 18:5 |
| hobsons 3:10 | incoming 36:23 | 47:4 48:16 55:19 | 23:1 25:6 26:19 |
| hoffman 2:15 | incredibly 15:11 | issues 22:22 38:15 | 30:25 33:5,21,22 |
| hold 24:7,7,7 | 15:12 43:1 | j | 34:15 35:14,17,23 |
| 25:16 50:17,17 | incurred 38:2,4 | j 2:17,22 3:18 | 40:10,12,24 41:2 |
| holding 20:7 | indiscernible 21:5 | 4:11 | 41:20 42:5 43:8 |
| hon 1:22 | 41:25 53:6 | jericho 5:4,5 | 43:10,20 46:21 |
| | | U ,- | 47:15 49:20 50:11 |
| | | | |
| | ** ** · · * | val Solutions | |

[know - n] Page 9

| 52:3,22 53:20 | level 23:16 | marginally 15:22 | mitigated 31:4 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 54:19 55:12,13 | lewis 2:15 | marie 2:15 | mitigation 30:12 |
| knowledge 14:14 | liable 38:24 | marketing 3:15 | moccia 3:14 |
| known 11:15 | limit 42:22 | martinez 2:3 | modified 49:15,16 |
| 37:20 41:21 | limitations 52:19 | mary's 22:8 24:25 | moment 34:24 |
| knows 11:21 | line 31:22 43:1,4 | maryann 3:12 | monday 46:1,2 |
| 50:18 54:25 | lipinski 2:21 | mas 44:1 | money 6:17,19 |
| koster 2:12,12 | list 28:16 | maserjian 2:8 | 12:7,11,11 14:6 |
| kpmg 6:22 9:4 | listen 50:16 | matter 1:5 20:2 | 14:11 21:24,25 |
| 14:15 48:13 53:7 | litigants 44:22 | 21:14 26:5 34:7 | 22:1,4,6,7,16,17 |
| kpmg's 14:17 | litigation 6:13,23 | 45:6 49:6,9 53:8 | 22:23 23:16,17,19 |
| 1 | 6:25 7:2 | matters 6:2 30:10 | 23:20 24:2,20 |
| 1 2:2 4:25 59:3,8 | little 6:12 10:17 | 38:9 | 25:8,12,15,16,17 |
| labor 49:21,22,22 | 21:4 29:11 49:7 | mean 7:8 19:19 | 25:17 26:3,4,15 |
| large 10:21,21 | lives 48:9 | 29:7 51:21 | 26:16 31:8 33:1 |
| 33:16 | llc 3:5,9,14 | measure 54:11 | 33:23 35:13,15 |
| laser 3:11 | llp 5:2 | 56:1 | 36:6,6,6,13,17 |
| laughter 53:18 | local 3:11 19:15 | mechanism 25:9 | 38:11,13 42:6,7 |
| 54:18 | long 19:4 41:21 | 43:7 | 50:14 55:17 56:7 |
| laurie 2:14 | 52:22 53:22 | mediation 6:24 | 56:20 |
| law 21:11,14,18 | longer 21:25 | meeting 56:2 | monies 9:8 14:8 |
| 21:19 23:13 26:6 | look 14:11 32:9 | melanie 2:16 | 21:12 24:16 |
| 34:7 43:13,13,15 | 32:10 42:2 50:1 | mendelsohn 3:2 | months 10:3 11:4 |
| laws 42:13 | 50:10 | mendelson 18:15 | moot 26:15 |
| lawsuit 40:8,13 | looked 53:25 | messed 38:23 | morning 46:1 |
| 46:14 | losing 36:13,17 | michelle 3:4,5 | motion 2:1 3:1 4:1 |
| lawyer 15:6 43:4 | 50:14 | middle 43:19 | 15:25 17:10 28:5 |
| 53:21 | lost 38:11,11 | mike 2:4 3:12 | 33:7 51:17 52:5,8 |
| lawyers 34:14,15 | lot 42:6 43:9 | mildly 12:4 | 52:19,24 58:5,8 |
| 42:19 53:21 | love 47:20 | million 12:21 13:9 | 58:11 |
| leading 32:25 | luck 34:21 | 38:5 42:10 | motions 6:11 |
| 39:10 | lumber 2:3 | millions 12:18 | mount 24:25 |
| leads 39:21 | m | minds 47:12 | move 8:24 16:1 |
| learned 42:19 | m 1:13 2:12,12,13 | mine 30:17 37:5 | 43:7 45:12 |
| leave 38:2 | 3:8 | 37:20 | mu 3:5 |
| left 20:9 30:8 | main 7:3 | mineola 59:25 | muddled 49:8 |
| 31:11 39:24 53:7 | maintain 50:15 | minute 10:24 53:1 | multiple 18:17 |
| legal 15:8 53:14 | majority 55:13 | minutes 7:17 | murray 3:16 |
| 53:16 59:22 | making 8:6 25:24 | miroslav 2:16 | muse 52:7 |
| legally 29:19 | 26:1,2 30:20 | miscommunicat | n |
| letter 21:18 | 41:19 | 48:8,10 | n 5:1 6:1 58:1 |
| letting 36:17 | manner 37:22 | misunderstanding | 59:1 |
| 37:24 | 31.22 | 44:17 | 37.1 |
| J1.27 | | | |
| Veritext Legal Solutions | | | |

[name - person] Page 10

| name 11:14 20:15 | | 28:11 | particular 13:9 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 22:8 | 0 | once 41:8 | 26:22 27:7 |
| national 3:13 | o 1:21 6:1 59:1 | | |
| | oak 32:10,10,15 | ones 28:17,25 online 29:10 | parties 17:21 22:3 27:9 30:20 33:6 |
| necessarily 44:11 | object 2:1 3:1 4:1 | | |
| need 9:20 39:8 | 10:18 33:7 52:13 | operate 26:23 | 34:19 52:13 |
| 43:11 48:3 | 58:5,8,11 | operated 45:14 | party 16:16 21:21 |
| needed 10:13 | objected 17:8 | operating 31:19 | 22:5 25:7 38:12 |
| never 14:12,13,16 | 18:20 19:18 20:23 | 31:20 32:23 37:25 | passed 52:14 |
| 18:1 40:15 52:21 | objection 11:17 | operations 36:7 | passes 21:22 |
| 53:21 | 16:8,15 17:12 | opinion 46:1 | path 34:16 |
| new 1:2,15 5:5 | 18:4 19:22 20:10 | opportunity 8:4 | pause 6:4 35:1 |
| 21:10,19 23:13 | 20:11 21:9 22:6 | 8:23 9:19 | pay 19:9 24:19 |
| 30:7 | 26:14 27:10 28:11 | opposed 41:23 | 32:24 57:6 |
| news 3:18 | 29:1 50:16,17 | opposite 41:12 | paying 19:10 33:3 |
| newsday 11:1,2 | 51:22 | order 10:19 16:7 | 33:3 35:15 53:21 |
| 11:10 | objections 6:15 | 19:5 22:16 24:23 | peggy 2:17 |
| newspaper 7:10 | 7:18 8:24 10:15 | 29:4 31:11 41:12 | pending 6:23 |
| 11:19 | 15:25 16:7,20,21 | 41:13 53:8 | penn 2:9 |
| nicholas 2:17 | 18:10 27:24 28:18 | orders 22:13 | pension 3:11 |
| noble 3:2 | 31:15 50:17,18 | organization 11:6 | 19:15 |
| nobody's 17:8 | 51:19 52:11 | originally 32:11 | people 7:25 8:4 |
| 37:4 | obligation 15:13 | owe 25:16 | 9:9 12:6,12 31:5,9 |
| nohs 3:3,4,5 17:22 | 15:14 38:12 | owes 25:17 | 31:23 32:5 34:6 |
| 17:24 18:3 20:10 | obviously 22:6 | ozizmir 2:19,20 | 37:25 38:1,9,15 |
| 20:14 21:2,4 22:6 | 27:20 40:5 | p | 42:2,3,15,24 43:7 |
| 24:6,9 26:9 27:1,3 | ocampo 3:5 20:16 | p 5:1,1 6:1 | 43:11 46:23,24 |
| 27:11,13,22 | occasions 12:8 | p.m. 1:18 57:22 | 47:11,11 51:18 |
| normal 14:6 38:9 | october 53:8 | page 58:4 | 55:6 |
| notice 7:2 10:15 | odd 40:21 | pages 40:21 | peppino 2:17 |
| 48:15 | oh 28:4 49:4 | paid 12:8,10 14:8 | percent 26:19 |
| notices 18:10 | okay 6:10 8:14 | 14:9,11 23:8 31:6 | 55:14,17,18,18 |
| number 6:2 10:5 | 9:23 13:18 18:8 | 33:23,24 35:16 | percentage 51:9 |
| 12:20 18:13,14,16 | 19:4,12 20:9,21 | painful 14:21 | 51:10 |
| 19:13,14,24 20:10 | 21:6 24:9,12 | panoply 38:14 | perfect 25:16 |
| 20:14,16 33:16 | 25:20 26:1,11 | parameters 10:12 | perfectly 8:17 |
| 55:1,9,9 57:5 | 27:1,2,11,14,17 | parameters 10.12 | 25:15 39:16 46:9 |
| numbers 2:2 3:2 | 29:3 36:16 41:1 | 40:1 | performance 3:11 |
| 4:2 12:6 19:1 | 43:10 45:11 46:10 | part 16:16 30:23 | peril 7:16 |
| 46:20,22 | 48:5 53:10,19 | 36:5 47:21 55:14 | period 12:9 54:12 |
| numerical 16:7 | 56:9 57:14 | participate 8:23 | permission 6:15 |
| numerous 21:10 | old 59:23 | participate 8.23 | persad 3:8 |
| ny 59:25 | omnibus 16:7,7 | participated 32.3 | person 8:11 11:2 |
| | 17:12 27:16,21 | 32:11,12,14 | 21:25 31:13 43:16 |
| | 17.12 27.10,21 | 32.11,12,14 | |
| Veritext Legal Solutions | | | |

[peter - records] Page 11

| | | | T |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| peter 28:21 | 44:4 53:12 | 55:3,7 | quickly 17:21 |
| ph 11:15 21:15 | post 37:5 38:23 | problems 42:1 | 22:21 33:16 |
| 28:20,21,21,23 | 54:3 55:1 57:9 | procedure 10:16 | quiet 42:22 |
| pick 46:1 | pot 57:10 | proceed 8:21 9:9 | quoted 11:2 |
| pickle 38:10 | potential 36:4 | 10:4,25 33:11 | r |
| pig 54:25 | potentially 49:25 | 34:13,14 | r 1:21 2:11 5:1 6:1 |
| pigs 54:21 | 52:1 | proceedings | 59:1 |
| pipeline 6:18 | power 3:15 52:15 | 57:22 59:4 | raise 50:3 |
| place 30:2 32:23 | powers 5:7 6:8,9 | process 44:11 | raised 27:10 39:4 |
| 34:3 55:7 | 6:14 10:24 12:3 | products 3:11 | rarely 39:6 |
| places 21:21 | 16:4,5,18,21,25 | professionals | reach 15:4,5 22:4 |
| 32:20 35:14 | 17:7,9,11,23 18:6 | 12:17 | 47:9 |
| plan 3:12 6:23 | 18:9,23 19:3,8,13 | programs 30:9 | reached 10:4 40:7 |
| 10:19 19:15 22:24 | 19:24 20:11,13,20 | 37:24 | read 13:5,8 31:21 |
| 23:18,21,23 24:1 | 20:22 21:1,8,15 | project 55:1 | 31:21 36:9 47:12 |
| 24:21 25:17 | 22:14,18,21 23:3 | property 24:17,18 | real 41:23 |
| planning 6:25 | 23:5,7,9,12,14,21 | prosecute 39:5 | reality 11:20 |
| platinum 3:13 | 23:24 24:4,10,13 | protect 14:2,3 | realize 39:17 |
| plausible 34:11 | 25:19,21,23,25 | protecting 10:6 | 57:17 |
| play 55:14 | 26:8,12 27:15,18 | prove 33:20 38:15 | really 8:9,25 9:14 |
| played 42:8 | 27:20,24 28:2,6 | 38:15 | 9:15 12:14 14:21 |
| plaza 1:14 3:10 | 28:10,19,22,24 | provide 30:8 | 37:4 42:2 43:21 |
| pleading 40:16 | 29:2,6 30:1,4,15 | provided 18:11 | 44:1 56:12 |
| 50:4 | 33:15 34:23 35:8 | public 7:9 9:7 | reason 6:12 17:25 |
| pleadings 31:5,21 | 50:21,25 51:3,6,9 | 41:24 | 32:13 |
| 34:5 | 51:11 | publicize 8:4 | reasonable 37:25 |
| please 13:21,22 | practical 53:13 | purpose 12:19 | 38:1 55:1 57:5,6 |
| 36:1 37:1 | pre 54:4 55:1,7 | 22:10,11 | reasons 47:25 |
| plenty 7:19,20 | 57:9 | purposes 20:24 | 53:24 |
| 54:4 | preclude 32:1 | put 7:4 10:13 12:4 | reclassify 2:1 3:1 |
| plus 26:18 | prefer 55:8 | 21:25 26:16 31:5 | 4:1 58:5,8,11 |
| point 8:23 15:23 | pres 21:11 22:2 | 42:6,7 44:4 47:9 | recognition 30:23 |
| 33:8 35:2 36:4 | 26:20 | 48:13 | recognize 39:19 |
| 37:2 | pretty 42:4 | putting 7:3 | 43:3 |
| points 47:18 | prevail 47:15 | q | |
| pool 26:15,17 | prima 33:8 | _ | recognizing 47:17 recommendation |
| pools 23:16 | principals 31:24 | quadrangle 5:4 | 56:23 |
| portion 56:11 | prior 10:3 39:21 | quarter 36:25 53:12 | reconcile 18:12 |
| position 29:19,24 | probably 9:19 | | |
| 30:15 33:15,20 | 16:6 25:13 26:14 | question 7:5 | record 10:6,11,14 |
| 34:10 43:25 | 50:18 | 29:18 33:1,12 | 14:2,4 15:11,12 16:4 18:9 59:4 |
| possible 12:10 | problem 25:3 | 34:2 38:4 | |
| 33:11 40:13 42:22 | 39:23 50:3 53:21 | quick 6:13 | records 14:12 |
| | | | 17:18 18:13 |
| Veritext Legal Solutions | | | |

[recovered - sherri] Page 12

| | I | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| recovered 55:10 | responsibility | ruling 27:6 39:18 | secret 43:19 |
| recuse 17:25 | 14:17 32:18 43:6 | rulings 58:3 | section 12:16 14:8 |
| reduce 2:1 3:1 4:1 | responsible 31:24 | run 45:8 52:18 | sections 13:8,16 |
| 58:5,8,11 | rest 16:20 48:9 | S | secured 56:19,23 |
| reduced 17:18 | resurrect 47:1 | s 5:1 6:1 | see 7:6 27:6 44:10 |
| 28:3 | return 24:25 | saggese 2:7 | 48:17 49:19 50:13 |
| reduction 3:7 | reversed 32:13 | sang 12:6 | seek 8:12 |
| reed 2:17 | review 9:2 15:7 | satan 2:16 | seen 12:15 14:7 |
| reference 30:20 | 15:15 44:20 | satisfaction 27:8 | 14:16 |
| referring 13:17 | reviewed 15:23 | satisfactory 34:3 | segregated 26:18 |
| reflects 11:20 | revisit 26:7 | satisfied 42:20 | send 49:16 |
| reg 1:7 | right 9:11,19 | 44:21 | sense 16:6,19 |
| regardless 18:25 | 10:18 15:6 16:19 | saturnino 2:8 | 47:25 55:6 |
| reject 34:19 | 17:6 19:2,7,23 | | sent 31:10 48:20 |
| rejected 47:24,25 | 20:12,18 23:2,9 | saying 19:19 20:24 24:16 30:25 | 48:23 49:14 |
| 47:25 | 23:12 24:15 25:5 | 31:1 33:25 35:23 | sentiment 7:9 |
| relate 50:19,20 | 27:12,14 28:1,8,9 | 37:15 49:2 50:9 | separate 19:6 |
| relation 25:23 | 28:10 32:21,21 | | 23:5 |
| relative 52:19 | 34:19 35:6,11 | 52:17 56:20 | september 20:7 |
| release 34:19 | 36:15,19 38:24 | says 13:9 25:7 | series 12:1 30:7 |
| remaining 51:16 | 39:18,19 43:19 | 30:5 50:4 | 31:19 |
| repeat 14:6 | 48:11 54:10 55:24 | scenario 35:4 | serve 6:18 44:7 |
| replete 31:14 | rights 46:14 51:21 | 44:23 | served 28:13 29:1 |
| replied 29:1 | road 8:18,19 | schedule 19:3 | service 16:10 |
| reporter 59:9 | 59:23 | scheduled 18:18 | 17:14 28:13 |
| represent 30:22 | robert 1:22 2:4 | 19:10 | serving 6:20 |
| represented 30:22 | 3:14,14 | scholarship 20:24 | set 27:14,16 57:13 |
| representing 11:5 | roberta 2:10,11 | 22:7,8 23:17 29:9 | settings 21:10 |
| 11:11,14 | rock 32:10,10,15 | scholarships | settle 10:12 11:21 |
| represents 57:5 | 47:13 | 22:23 37:21 | 15:16 41:14 46:14 |
| requested 20:1 | rodeo 55:23 | school 31:10 | settled 6:20,22 |
| require 31:1 | role 14:25 18:21 | 32:19,23,25 37:21 | 41:8,9,9,11 |
| resend 49:12,13 | rolled 11:7 | 37:24 38:2,4 | settlement 6:16 |
| resolution 16:23 | ronald 2:22 3:18 | 46:25 | 7:2,2,7 8:3 9:1,5,7 |
| 18:25 22:1 40:7 | 4:11 6:6,8 | school's 32:18 | 32:1 34:18 46:20 |
| resolve 31:18 | rosenfeld 25:19 | schools 30:8 | 48:24 51:25 54:13 |
| 51:12 | round 12:1 | sclafini 2:10 | 54:19 56:17 |
| resolved 20:4 27:8 | royal 3:14 | scott 42:4 | settlements 8:25 |
| responded 51:2,3 | rubric 53:2 | scramble 29:14 | 15:16 23:19 55:3 |
| 52:9 | rudolph 42:4,9 | seat 21:6 | settling 14:15,16 |
| response 16:16 | rug 14:23 | second 17:12 | 44:12 |
| 17:21 29:5,5 30:5 | rule 6:18 7:1 | 27:16,21 38:25 | sherri 4:25 59:3,8 |
| 33:13 34:3 42:17 | 48:23 | seconds 41:8 | |
| 22.12.21.21.17 | .0.23 | | |
| Veritext Legal Solutions | | | |

[short - third] Page 13

| short 20:4 | sort 30:8 | students 29:18 | tandem 41:10 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| shouldn't 14:8 | source 14:6 | 30:8 32:18 33:3 | tax 22:19 25:9,10 |
| 25:1 | speak 44:18,18 | 33:24 35:13 36:16 | teachers 37:23 |
| show 33:21 45:8 | speaker 57:21 | 36:23 39:11,11 | tech 3:4 |
| 50:14 57:2,3 | specific 20:24 | 50:19,22,24 | tell 7:23 13:11 |
| showed 10:14 | 22:10 25:8 | stuff 55:5 | 38:12 43:7 45:17 |
| shut 30:6 31:6,7 | specifically 22:7 | subject 39:6,6 | 45:21 47:8 52:21 |
| 38:1 | spend 31:11 37:6 | 54:7 | 55:2 |
| shuttering 32:19 | spent 36:22 | submit 52:11 | telling 14:20 |
| siana 2:10 | spoke 6:19 11:1,1 | submitted 30:19 | ten 41:7 42:10 |
| side 42:2,14,21,23 | 11:2 | 38:17 | 55:14,18 |
| sided 13:23 | spoken 11:15 18:1 | subside 10:24 | tenor 11:5 |
| sign 8:13,14 | sports 3:3 | substantial 19:16 | tens 42:14 |
| signatures 6:17 | spot 39:1 | 19:20 | tension 42:1 |
| silvermanacam | spread 10:11 | substantively | term 20:5 |
| 5:2 6:6 16:5 | st 22:8 24:25 | 18:18 | thank 16:3 17:11 |
| similar 7:1 32:9 | staff 36:17 | suggest 34:5 | 27:13 28:6 43:2 |
| similarly 29:13 | stand 50:2,2 | suggested 9:5 | 52:25 57:15,20,21 |
| single 41:23 | star 3:14 | suitcases 12:13 | theory 25:12 |
| singular 29:5 | start 48:7 49:10 | suite 5:4 59:24 | thing 34:4 35:5 |
| sir 37:1 | state 21:10 29:12 | sullivan 2:11 | 38:20 40:10 42:12 |
| sit 46:4 48:3 | 30:7 | summary 39:6,7 | 47:6 53:7 |
| sitting 22:24 | stated 16:13 | superseded 16:9 | things 15:14 |
| 23:17 | statement 12:10 | 17:7 | 32:17 39:15,16 |
| situated 29:13 | 13:15 17:20 | supposed 23:2 | 42:21 46:15 48:3 |
| situation 18:17 | statements 29:17 | sure 8:13 26:3 | 53:25 |
| skyrush 3:15 | states 1:1,12 2:5,6 | 34:25 | think 7:21 8:5,9 |
| small 25:2 | 3:17 16:12 17:15 | suther 11:15 | 11:6,19,20 14:11 |
| smart 3:15 40:23 | 19:25 20:2 28:14 | swept 14:22 | 15:6,16 16:6 18:7 |
| 54:24 55:21 | status 6:13 | system 42:11 | 20:13,20 22:9,22 |
| smarter 35:19,20 | statute 52:18 | t | 25:4,5 27:5,15,18 |
| 35:22 | steven 2:17 3:16 | t 2:15 3:4,5 59:1,1 | 27:20 28:21 29:4 |
| smile 40:23 | stip 19:5 | take 16:6 31:12 | 29:4,16 30:18 |
| smiling 35:17 | stipulate 27:10 | 33:20 40:19 41:7 | 32:4,7 33:7,11 |
| solely 55:15 | stipulating 44:12 | 43:19 48:12 49:11 | 34:17 35:4,4 |
| solutions 59:22 | stood 29:10 | 53:15 55:2,8 56:1 | 37:11,13 39:25 |
| somebody 15:17 | stories 31:13 | 57:18 | 40:9,18 43:20 |
| 24:3 30:18 32:1 | story 14:21 43:7 | taken 16:16,23 | 45:19 46:5 49:7 |
| 39:22 43:14 44:6 | 43:20 | 17:2 34:10 | 53:17 57:1 |
| someplace 12:12 | strange 18:16 | talk 48:21 56:6 | thinks 26:3 |
| soon 6:19 | struggling 50:14 | talking 23:16,17 | third 27:19 28:11 |
| sorry 11:12 57:16 | student 28:11 | 28:2 50:23 | 53:12 |
| | 50:1,15 53:6 | 20.2 00.25 | |
| | Veriteyt I ed | | |

[thought - we've] Page 14

| thought 10.2 10.5 | trouble 50:13 | ultimate 7:22 22:1 | veritext 59:22 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| thought 10:3 18:5 | | | |
| 37:16 41:14 44:21 | true 21:13,13,14 | ultimately 18:25 | viable 39:4 |
| 47:18,24 | 21:19 28:8 38:24 | 23:21 24:13 29:7 | view 7:13,15 8:10 |
| thoughtful 44:11 | 43:14 59:4 | 34:1 | 10:20,21 11:25 |
| thousands 42:14 | trust 2:18,19,19 | uncomfortable | 12:1,3 15:21 38:1 |
| 42:14 | 2:20 5:3 23:7,15 | 41:19 | 39:24 40:3,5 |
| three 6:11 31:10 | 24:14 | underlying 30:13 | 42:11 53:20 |
| 37:6 48:19 | trustee 2:14,18,20 | 34:2 | viewed 32:11,12 |
| till 12:2 | 2:23 3:19 4:12 6:7 | understand 8:18 | 39:10 |
| time 15:3,3,3,23 | 6:8 7:11 8:2 11:5 | 9:16 11:19 12:4 | views 8:17 |
| 41:16,21 42:3 | 12:16 14:13 15:2 | 13:7 15:20 30:15 | vladislav 2:6 |
| 44:4,19 48:1 | 15:13 16:5,12 | 33:15 35:3,4 36:4 | volunteering 42:3 |
| 49:18 51:22 52:18 | 17:16 18:17,21,22 | 39:3 41:8,20 43:1 | w |
| 52:22 53:22 54:9 | 18:23 28:15 32:2 | 43:11,15,15 46:22 | w 25:2 |
| 54:12,22 55:22 | 42:25 | understanding | wait 12:2 |
| timeline 49:7 | trustee's 15:2 | 10:4 15:21 | waiting 22:25 |
| times 21:21 | 37:5 | understood 28:19 | 23:18 29:10 48:2 |
| timothy 28:23 | trustees 31:23 | unidentified 1:25 | 48:17,21 57:18 |
| today 6:7 9:20,22 | 32:5 34:18 39:1 | 57:21 | waive 32:6,7 |
| 12:1 15:23,24 | 39:25 43:25 46:3 | uninjured 39:13 | waldemar 2:21 |
| 20:3 21:16 52:13 | try 51:12 56:3 | union 3:13 | walks 42:25 43:4 |
| told 8:9 9:5,17 | trying 11:21 | united 1:1,12 2:5 | want 7:6 8:15,22 |
| 11:10 12:21 14:10 | 41:18 43:23 46:19 | 2:6 3:16 16:12 | 8:25 9:12,15,18 |
| 32:24 36:23 38:9 | 50:9 | 17:15 19:25 20:2 | 9:23 13:4 24:17 |
| 41:6 44:24 45:1 | tsalko 2:7 | 28:14 | 25:8 31:2 32:9 |
| ton 42:7 | tuesday 49:19,21 | university 37:3 | 42:13 44:8,10,24 |
| total 50:24,25 | 56:2 57:13 | unpunished 42:12 | 45:16,23 46:4,25 |
| 54:25 55:4 | tuition 32:25 33:2 | unsatisfied 8:1 | 47:11,13 53:13,14 |
| totality 53:25 54:1 | 33:3,24 35:12 | unsecured 2:22 | 55:8,15 56:4,8,12 |
| totally 24:4 | 36:24 | 3:19 4:12 5:3 6:7 | |
| totals 55:4 | tune 12:7 | 23:15 | 56:14,19,21 wanted 30:11 |
| tough 43:4 | turn 8:6 | unsuccessfully | |
| tower 3:8 | turro 2:15 | 43:24 | 37:5 45:13,15,24 |
| transaction 43:19 | two 11:4 12:8,9 | unwilling 39:18 | wants 11:16,16 |
| transcribed 4:25 | 16:25 18:9 20:13 | upset 57:16,17 | 21:3 24:23 46:3 |
| transcriber 59:9 | 23:16 32:17 38:22 | use 21:24 48:13 | way 14:11,20,21 |
| transcript 59:4 | 42:20 55:18 | v | 21:11 23:4 27:4 |
| transcripts 29:10 | type 39:24,24 | | 29:17 38:7 40:7 |
| 33:22 | | vacation 20:3 | 46:8,8 48:12 |
| transferrable | u | valid 33:8,9 | 55:15 |
| 37:2 | u.s. 1:23 | vary 12:2 | we've 8:6 11:17 |
| transferred 50:10 | uh 38:19 44:14 | veiled 30:20 | 41:21 42:19 55:5 |
| 30.10 | 46:12 54:6,16 | vent 43:7 | 55:10 |
| | 57:8 | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ral Solutions | I |

[wedding - young] Page 15

| wedding 35:14 | year 12:9,21 13:9 |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| week 46:1 | 13:14 36:14,18 |
| weekend 49:22 | years 13:15 14:7 |
| weeks 45:15 48:19 | 37:25 |
| weinstock 2:13 | york 1:2,15 5:5 |
| welcome 46:11 | 21:10,19 23:13 |
| went 7:22 12:11 | 30:7 |
| 12:14 13:11,12 | young 54:24 |
| 33:5 36:7 | |
| westchester 3:17 | |
| whalley 2:3 | |
| whatnot 27:25 | |
| 29:13 | |
| william 2:21 | |
| willing 25:15 46:9 | |
| willness 3:3 | |
| win 34:8 | |
| wish 21:3 33:10 | |
| 34:21 | |
| withdraw 27:9 | |
| withdrawals | |
| 18:10 | |
| withdrawing 19:9 | |
| 19:21 | |
| withdrew 18:13 | |
| work 26:12 27:4 | |
| 32:3,4 | |
| working 23:1 | |
| works 18:2 21:19 | |
| world 34:21 51:23 | |
| 53:20 | |
| write 33:17 | |
| written 53:23 | |
| wrong 11:10 | |
| 15:16 26:3 39:18 | |
| 40:5 | |
| X | |
| x 1:4,10 22:8 | |
| 38:10 40:2 58:1 | |
| у | |
| yeah 7:8 27:3 | |
| 40:14 41:5 48:17 | |
| 50:3 | |
| | |